

Lecture 2

2.4 Finding the right rescaling

1. Systematic approach. First pose a general rescaling with scaling factor $\delta(\epsilon)$:

$$x = \delta X,$$

in which X is strictly of order one as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. This gives

$$\epsilon\delta^2 X^2 + \delta X - 1 = 0.$$

Then consider the dominant balance in the equation as δ varies from very small to very large.

(i) $\delta \ll 1$. Then

$$\epsilon\delta^2 X^2 + \delta X - 1 = \text{small} + \text{small} - 1$$

which cannot possibly balance the zero on the right-hand side of the equation. As δ is gradually increased the first term to break the domination of the -1 term is δX , which comes into play when $\delta = 1$.

(ii) $\delta = 1$. Now the left-hand side is

$$\epsilon\delta^2 X^2 + \delta X - 1 = \text{small} + X - 1$$

This can balance the zero on the right-hand side, and produces the regular root $X = -1 + \text{small}$.

(iii) $1 \ll \delta \ll \epsilon^{-1}$. Now the term δX dominates the left-hand side

$$\frac{\epsilon\delta^2 X^2 + \delta X - 1}{\delta} = \text{small} + X + \text{small}$$

This can only balance the zero on the right-hand side if $X = 0$, but that violates the restriction that X is strictly of order one. As δ is further increased the dominance of δX is broken when the first term comes into play at $\delta = \epsilon^{-1}$.

(iv) $\delta = \epsilon^{-1}$. Now the left-hand side divided by $\epsilon\delta^2$ is

$$\frac{\epsilon\delta^2 X^2 + \delta X - 1}{\epsilon\delta^2} = X^2 + X + \text{small}$$

This can balance the zero on the right-hand side and gives the singular root $X = -1 + \text{small}$. (Note that the solution $X = 0$ is not permitted since X has to be strictly order one).

(v) $\delta \gg \epsilon^{-1}$. Finally, if δ is larger still then the left-hand side divided by $\epsilon\delta^2$ is dominated by the first term

$$\frac{\epsilon\delta^2 X^2 + \delta X - 1}{\epsilon\delta^2} = X^2 + \text{small} + \text{small}$$

which cannot balance the zero on the right-hand side with X strictly of order one.

2. An alternative method is to compare terms pairwise, which is quicker when there are a small number of terms. To get a sensible answer from equating the left-hand side to zero we need at least two terms to be in balance (sometimes known as a **distinguished limit**). The possible combinations are the first and second terms, the first and third terms, or the second and third terms.

- (i) First and second terms in balance. To have ϵx^2 and x in balance requires x to be of size ϵ^{-1} . Then these terms are both of size ϵ^{-1} , and dominate the remaining term -1 , which is of size one. This leads to the singular root.
- (ii) First and third terms in balance. To have ϵx^2 and -1 in balance requires x to be of size $\epsilon^{-1/2}$. Then these terms are both of size one, but the remaining term x is of size $\epsilon^{-1/2}$, so that this single term dominates and there is no sensible balance.
- (iii) Second and third terms in balance. To have x and -1 in balance requires x to be of size one. Then these terms are both of size one, and dominate the remaining term which is size ϵ . This leads to the regular root.

2.5 Non-integral powers

Consider the quadratic equation

$$(1 - \epsilon)x^2 - 2x + 1 = 0.$$

Setting $\epsilon = 0$ gives $x = 1$ as the double root (a sign of the danger to come). Proceeding as usual we pose the expansion

$$x = 1 + \epsilon x_1 + \epsilon^2 x_2 + \dots$$

Substituting into the equation

$$(1 - \epsilon)(1 + \epsilon x_1 + \epsilon^2 x_2 + \dots)^2 - 2(1 + \epsilon x_1 + \epsilon^2 x_2 + \dots) + 1 = 0.$$

Expanding gives

$$1 + 2x_1\epsilon + (2x_2 + x_1^2)\epsilon^2 + \dots - \epsilon - 2x_1\epsilon^2 + \dots - 2 - 2x_1\epsilon - 2x_2\epsilon^2 + \dots + 1 = 0.$$

Comparing coefficients of ϵ gives

$$\text{At } \epsilon^0: \quad 1 - 2 + 1 = 0,$$

which is automatically satisfied because we started with the correct value $x = 1$ at $\epsilon = 0$.

$$\text{At } \epsilon^1: \quad 2x_1 - 1 - 2x_1 = 0,$$

which cannot be satisfied by any value of x_1 (except $x_1 = \infty$ in some sense).

The cause of the difficulty is illustrated by looking at the exact solution

$$x = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{\epsilon}}{1 - \epsilon}.$$

Expanding the positive root for small ϵ gives

$$x = 1 + \epsilon^{1/2} + \epsilon + \epsilon^{3/2} + \dots.$$

We should have expanded in powers of $\epsilon^{1/2}$ instead of ϵ . This is what $x_1 = \infty$ is hinting at: the scaling on x_1 is too small. (In retrospect we could have guessed that an order $\epsilon^{1/2}$ change in x would be required to produce an order ϵ change in a function at its minimum.)

If we pose the expansion

$$x = 1 + \epsilon^{1/2}x_{1/2} + \epsilon x_1 + \dots,$$

and substitute into the equation

$$(1 - \epsilon) (1 + \epsilon^{1/2}x_{1/2} + \epsilon x_1 + \dots)^2 - 2 (1 + \epsilon^{1/2}x_{1/2} + \epsilon x_1 + \dots) + 1 = 0.$$

Expanding gives

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + 2x_{1/2}\epsilon^{1/2} + (2x_1 + x_{1/2}^2)\epsilon + (2x_{3/2} + 2x_{1/2}x_1)\epsilon^{3/2} + \dots - \epsilon - 2x_{1/2}\epsilon^{3/2} + \dots \\ - 2 - 2x_{1/2}\epsilon^{1/2} - 2x_1\epsilon - 2x_{3/2}\epsilon^{3/2} + \dots + 1 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing coefficients of ϵ we find that

$$\text{At } \epsilon^0: \quad 1 - 2 + 1 = 0,$$

is automatically satisfied as usual and

$$\text{At } \epsilon^{1/2}: \quad 2x_{1/2} - 2x_{1/2} = 0,$$

is satisfied for all values of $x_{1/2}$. Slightly disturbing that $x_{1/2}$ is not determined but at least the expansion is consistent so far.

$$\text{At } \epsilon^1: \quad 2x_1 + x_{1/2}^2 - 1 - 2x_1 = 0,$$

so that $x_{1/2} = \pm 1$ and x_1 is not determined at this level.

$$\text{At } \epsilon^{3/2}: \quad 2x_{3/2} + 2x_{1/2}x_1 - 2x_{1/2} - 2x_{3/2} = 0,$$

so that $x_1 = 1$ for both roots $x_{1/2}$, while $x_{3/2}$ is not determined.

2.6 Finding the right expansion sequence

How would we determine the expansion sequence if we did not have the exact solution to compare with? First pose a general expansion

$$x = 1 + \delta_1 x_1$$

and substitute this into the equation to get

$$(1 - \epsilon)(1 + \delta_1 x_1)^2 - 2(1 + \delta_1 x_1) + 1 = 0.$$

Expanding

$$1 + 2\delta_1 x_1 + \delta_1^2 x_1^2 - \epsilon + 2\epsilon\delta_1 x_1 + \delta_1^2 \epsilon x_1^2 - 2 - 2\delta_1 x_1 + 1 = 0.$$

Simplifying leaves

$$\delta_1^2 x_1^2 - \epsilon + 2\epsilon\delta_1 x_1 + \delta_1^2 \epsilon x_1^2 = 0.$$

Now we play the dominant balance game again. Since $\epsilon\delta_1 \ll \epsilon$ the leading terms are $\delta_1^2 x_1^2$ and ϵ . Thus to get a sensible balance we need $\delta_1 = \epsilon^{1/2}$. With this value for δ_1 we equate coefficients of ϵ to get

$$x_1^2 - 1 = 0, \quad \text{i.e. } x_1 = \pm 1.$$

To proceed to higher order we play the game again. Choosing $x_1 = 1$ for example, we now have

$$x = 1 + \epsilon^{1/2} + \delta_2 x_2, \quad \delta_2 \ll \epsilon^{1/2}.$$

Substituting into the equation

$$(1 - \epsilon)(1 + \epsilon^{1/2} + \delta_2 x_2)^2 - 2(1 + \epsilon^{1/2} + \delta_2 x_2) + 1 = 0.$$

Expanding

$$1 + 2\epsilon^{1/2} + \epsilon + 2\delta_2 x_2 + 2\epsilon^{1/2}\delta_2 x_2 + \delta_2^2 x_2^2 - \epsilon - 2\epsilon^{3/2} - \epsilon^2 - 2\epsilon\delta_2 x_2 - 2\epsilon^{3/2}\delta_2 x_2 - \epsilon\delta_2^2 x_2^2 - 2 - 2\epsilon^{1/2} - 2\delta_2 x_2 + 1 = 0.$$

Simplifying leaves

$$2\epsilon^{1/2}\delta_2 x_2 + \delta_2^2 x_2^2 - 2\epsilon^{3/2} - \epsilon^2 - 2\epsilon\delta_2 x_2 - 2\epsilon^{3/2}\delta_2 x_2 - \epsilon\delta_2^2 x_2^2 = 0.$$

Since $\delta_2 \ll \epsilon^{1/2}$ the dominant term involving δ_2 is $2\epsilon^{1/2}\delta_2 x_2$. This must balance with $-2\epsilon^{3/2}$, giving $\delta_2 = \epsilon$ and $x_2 = 1$.

2.7 Iterative method

This is often very useful in cases where the expansion sequence is not known. Writing the original quadratic as

$$(x - 1)^2 = \epsilon x^2$$

we are led to the iterative process

$$x_{n+1} = 1 \pm \epsilon^{1/2} x_n.$$

Starting with $x_0 = 1$ the positive root gives

$$x_1 = 1 + \epsilon^{1/2}$$

and

$$x_2 = 1 + \epsilon^{1/2} + \epsilon.$$