On the Multiplicity of Planar Solutions for Axially Traveling Waves in Simulated SRMs

Joseph Majdalani^{*} University of Tennessee Space Institute, Tullahoma, TN 37388

Traveling waves in confined enclosures, such as porous channels and rocket motors, develop boundary layers that evolve over varying spatial scales. The present analysis employs a technique that circumvents guessing of the inner coordinate transformations at the forefront of a multiple-scales expansion. The work extends a former study in which a two-dimensional, planar solution was derived for the rotational, traveling wave in a porous channel. This asymptotic solution was based on a free coordinate transformation that could be evaluated using Prandtl's Principle of Matching with Supplementary Expansions. Its derivation required matching the dominant term in the multiple-scales expansion to an available WKB solution. Presently, the Principle of Least Singular Behavior is used. This approach leads to a multiple-scales approximation that can be obtained independently of supplementary expansions. At leading order, the previous transformations are recoverable from the present formulation. Furthermore, a procedure that yields distinct types of WKB solutions is described and extended to arbitrary order in the viscous perturbation parameter. Among those, the WKB expansion of type I is shown to exhibit an alternating singularity at odd orders in the perturbation parameter. This singularity is identified and suppressed using matched-asymptotic tools. For those studies that have retained oddpowered corrections at the highest order, criteria that define their physical limitations are provided. In this context, we find the WKB expansion of type II to be uniformly valid at any order. Additionally, matched-asymptotic, WKB, and multiple-scales expansions are developed for several test cases. These enable us to characterize the essential vorticoacoustic features of the axially traveling waves in a porous channel. In closing, all solutions are numerically verified, compared, and discussed.

I. Introduction

THE modeling of axially traveling oscillatory waves in injection-driven porous chambers emerges as a necessity in several physical contexts. These include the analysis of acoustic instability, propellant gasification in slab rocket motors, surface ablation, filtration, water hammering, and biological transport. The types of waves considered here may be induced externally, through the use of wave generators, or internally, due to the intrinsic coupling with the chamber's natural frequencies. Examples of the forced type are showcased in experimental investigations by Brown *et al*,¹ Dunlap et *al*.,² Ma *et al*.,³ and Barron, Majdalani and Van Moorhem.⁴ These are chiefly motivated by the need to capture the wave structure and potential instability during the burning of solid propellant grains. In this vein, cold-flow facilities are built to permit flow visualization and data acquisition in a safe environment. This is accomplished by allowing either the injection of an inert gas across sintered metal plates or the expulsion of carbon dioxide from sublimating blocks of dry ice. The ensuing oscillatory motion is controlled through the use of rotating valves or four-bar linkages attached to a reciprocating piston. Procedurally, Ma *et al*.³ and Barron, Majdalani and Van Moorhem⁴ follow Richardson and Tyler⁵ in adjusting the speed of their electric motors to the extent of controlling the reciprocating frequency of a piston mounted at the end of a crank.

The investigation of intrinsic, self-induced oscillations is separately pursued and then summarized in two surveys by Ugurtas *et al.*⁶ and Fabignon *et al.*⁷ These discuss an innovative experimental facility known as VECLA (*Veine d'Etude de la Couche Limite Acoustique*). Casalis, Avalon and Pineau⁸ describe VECLA as a long rectangular channel with counterfacing porous and impervious walls. By injecting air uniformly along the porous side,

^{*}H. H. Arnold Chair of Excellence in Advanced Propulsion, Mechanical, Aerospace and Biomedical Engineering Department. Senior Member AIAA. Fellow ASME.

inevitable fluctuations in the injection rate give rise to a well-defined acoustic environment that is accompanied by rich traveling wave structures. These are further discussed in a unifying study by Griffond.⁹

For a porous channel driven by sidewall mass injection, the traveling wave motion, excluding hydrodynamic instability waves, is treated by Majdalani.¹⁰ For small-amplitude pressure oscillations, asymptotic formulations for the flow variables are obtained using three perturbation schemes. The undisturbed state is represented by an arbitrary mean flow satisfying Berman's classic equation (Berman¹¹⁻¹²), while symmetric time-dependent solutions are derived from the linearized vorticity and momentum transport equations. In short, a time-dependent, laminar-flow approximation is extracted from the vorticity transport equation, and two other solutions are retrieved from the momentum equation using zeroth-order WKB and multiple-scales expansions.¹⁰ Despite their dissimilar expressions, the three asymptotic solutions are found to agree with one another, with experiments, and with numerical simulations of the nonlinear Navier-Stokes equations. One of the resulting formulations is later employed by Griffond⁹ in his study of hydrodynamic instability and wave propagation in an injecting channel.

The aforementioned multiple-scales expansion relies on a space-reductive analysis founded on an undetermined scaling transformation (UST). This method of analysis necessitates the introduction of an undetermined scaling variable s that may be left unspecified during the derivation process. At the conclusion of the asymptotic analysis, physical arguments are applied toward the determination of s. The idea is anchored on Prandtl's Principle of Matching with Supplementary Expansions (Van Dyke,¹³ p. 53). Accordingly, the dominant term in the multiple-scales solution is matched to its counterpart from a WKB expansion. The outcome is helpful in disclosing the inherently nonlinear scaling structure of the problem. It also produces a uniformly valid UST solution that outperforms the basic WKB approximation over a range of physical parameters.

Despite the useful feature of retaining an undetermined scale during the derivation process, the UST scheme bears practical limitations. Its feasibility is contingent on the existence of an alternative approximation. To overcome this deficiency, the present study will extend the UST analysis by presenting a simpler approach which leads to the independent specification of the scaling transformation. This will be obtained by imposing the problem's solvability condition to the extent of suppressing singularity in successive asymptotic orders. We refer to the resulting expansion as GST (generalized-scaling technique). This approach is also applied by Majdalani and Rienstra¹⁴ to problems that involve overlapping dissipative and dispersive mechanisms. In comparison to the UST expansion, the paradigm to be implemented precludes matching and guesswork.

In connection with the WKB expansions of this problem, our study will discuss the recurrence of an endpoint singularity that only appears at higher orders. To better understand the spurious nature of this anomaly, a WKB approximation is presented to an arbitrary order. In the process, two types of physical solutions are realized. From the expression of type I, a singularity that appears at alternating orders of the perturbation parameter is identified. This singularity will be shown to affect a thin region near the core of the porous channel where the WKB approximation deteriorates, thus warranting the quest for a small-amplitude inner solution. The endpoint singularity eludes detection because the corresponding solution remains well-behaved and accurate outside the thin region of nonuniformity. By recognizing the source of singularity, the limitations of previous studies will be clearly established. In revisiting this problem, a new solution of type II will be identified and shown to be unconditionally valid to any order.

The paper is subdivided into seven sections. In Sec. II, the problem is briefly defined using the momentum transport formulation. This is followed in Sec. III by the formal procedural steps leading to a multi-order WKB expansion. In the course of this effort, the presence of singularities at alternating orders is identified and discussed. In Sec. IV, illustrative examples are provided and the existence of an end-zone boundary layer is ascertained. Section V describes the construction of a multiple-scales solution based on a generalized coordinate. Imposition of the problem's solvability condition is then used to deduce the scale. In this manner, a multiple-scales solution is obtained for an arbitrary mean-flow function. In Sec. VI, the traveling wave is completed and numerically verified. Its main characteristics are explored and discussed. Finally, our main ideas are recapitulated in Sec. VII.

II. Mathematical Model

Our main focus is placed on the velocity of a damped traveling wave inside a long porous channel. Based on two previous studies;^{10,15} the longitudinal component of the wave can be written as

$$u_1(x,y,t) = \operatorname{i}\sin(\omega_m x)\exp(-\mathrm{i}\omega_m t) - \operatorname{i}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(-1\right)^n \left(\omega_m x\right)^{2n+1}}{\left(2n+1\right)!} Y_n(y)\exp\left(-\mathrm{i}\omega_m t\right) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) , \quad \begin{cases} 0 \le x \le l \\ 0 \le y \le 1 \end{cases}$$
(1)

where the eigenfunction Y_n must be determined from the doubly perturbed problem connected with

$$\varepsilon \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}Y_{n}}{\mathrm{d}y^{2}} - \sigma F(y) \frac{\mathrm{d}Y_{n}}{\mathrm{d}y} + \left[\mathrm{i} + 2\sigma \left(n+1\right)F'(y)\right]Y_{n} = 0, \quad 0 \le y \le 1, \ Y_{n}\left(0\right) = 1, \ Y'_{n}(1) = 0$$
(2)

where

$$\varepsilon = \nu \omega^{-1} h^{-2} \in [10^{-8}, 10^{-4}] \quad \sigma \equiv S^{-1} = v_w \omega^{-1} h^{-1} \in [10^{-4}, 10^{-1}]$$
(3)

For the reader interested in the steps leading to Eq. (2), a brief overview is provided in Appendix A. Note that S is the Strouhal number while ε represents the reciprocal of the kinetic Reynolds number. For consistency, we adopt the same notation as before.¹⁰ We use t to denote dimensionless time and x and y to represent the streamwise and transverse coordinates (normalized by the channel's half-spacing h). With v_w as the injection speed at the wall and ν as the kinematic viscosity, we denote the speed of sound by a_s and use asterisks to designate dimensional variables. Our normalization is based on

$$x = x^* / h, \quad y = y^* / h, \quad t = t^* a_s / h, \quad \omega_m = \omega h / a_s = m\pi h / L, \quad l = L / h, \quad u_1 = u_1^* / (\bar{\varepsilon}a_s)$$
(4)

In the foregoing expressions, ω is the frequency of oscillations and $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ is the acoustic oscillation mode number of a pressure wave of amplitude A inside a channel of length L and mean pressure p_s (see Fig. 1). The dimensionless wave parameter in (4) is given by $\overline{\varepsilon} = A / (\gamma p_s)$ and the injection Mach number by $M_w = v_w / a_s$. With this nomenclature at hand, the instantaneous velocity in the channel becomes

$$\boldsymbol{u}(x,y,t) = \boldsymbol{M}_{w}\boldsymbol{u}_{0}(x,y) + \overline{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{u}_{1}(x,y,t); \quad \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{u}_{0}(x,y) = (-xF'(y),F(y)) \\ \boldsymbol{u}_{1}(x,y,t) = (\boldsymbol{u}_{1}(x,y,t),\mathcal{O}(\boldsymbol{M}_{w})) \end{cases}$$
(5)

At this juncture, it may be helpful to introduce the injection (or cross-flow) Reynolds number as $R \equiv v_w h / \nu = (\varepsilon S)^{-1} > 10$. Then, depending on the value of R, two mean-flow solutions have been made available by Berman¹¹ and Yuan.¹⁶ These correspond to

$$F(y) = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{3}{2}y^2 + \frac{1}{2}y^3, & 10 < R < 100\\ \cos\theta, & \theta \equiv \frac{1}{2}\pi y, & R > 100 \end{cases} \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{cases} F'(0) = F(1) = F''(1) = 0\\ F(0) \equiv F_0 = 1 \end{cases}$$
(6)

At this point, it is expedient to define the small perturbation parameter $\delta \equiv R^{-1} = \varepsilon S$. In lieu of ε , $\delta < 0.1$ is the principal perturbation parameter used in mean flow studies of porous channels (Berman¹¹⁻¹²); δ is proportional to the viscosity independently of the oscillation frequency. This viscous parameter is present implicitly in Eq. (2). It can be displayed by multiplying Eq. (2) by the Strouhal number to obtain

$$\begin{cases} \delta \frac{d^2 Y_n}{dy^2} - F \frac{d Y_n}{dy} + \left[iS + 2(n+1)F' \right] Y_n = 0; & 0 \le y \le 1 \\ Y_n(0) = 1; & Y'_n(1) = 0 \end{cases}$$
(7)



Figure 1. Coordinate system and geometric parameters defining the porous channel with sidewall injection.

III. WKB Analysis

A. Exponential Corrections

A regular WKB expansion begins with

$$Y_{n}(y) = \exp\left(\beta^{-1}z_{0} + z_{1} + \beta z_{2} + \beta^{2}z_{3} + \beta^{3}z_{4} + \ldots\right)$$
(8)

where $z_k(y)$ must be determined sequentially for $k \ge 0$. Differentiating twice and substituting into Eq. (2) yields

$$\varepsilon \left[\beta^{-2} z_0'^2 + \beta^{-1} (z_0'' + 2z_0' z_1') + z_1'' + z_1'^2 + 2z_0' z_2' + \beta (z_2'' + 2z_0' z_3' + 2z_1' z_2') + \ldots \right] - \sigma F \left(\beta^{-1} z_0' + z_1' + \beta z_2' + \beta^2 z_3' + \beta^3 z_4' + \ldots \right) + \left[i + 2 \left(n + 1 \right) \sigma F' \right] = 0$$
(9)

In order to distinguish terms of the same order, it is convenient to multiply through by βS so one can write

$$\left(i\beta S - Fz'_{0} \right) + \beta \left[\delta\beta^{-2}z'^{2}_{0} + 2\left(n+1\right)F' - Fz'_{1} \right] + \beta^{2} \left[\delta\beta^{-2}(z''_{0} + 2z'_{0}z'_{1}) - Fz'_{2} \right] + \beta^{3} \left[\delta\beta^{-2}(z''_{1} + z'^{2}_{1} + 2z'_{0}z'_{2}) - Fz'_{3} \right] + \mathcal{O}(\beta^{4}, \delta\beta^{2}) \equiv 0$$

$$(10)$$

B. Main Distinguished Limits

Several distinguished limits are possible depending on the relative size of δ and S. For $\delta < S^{-1}$, quantities between brackets will be balanced when $\delta\beta^{-2} = \mathcal{O}(1)$ and $\beta S = \mathcal{O}(1)$. These conditions are satisfied when $\beta \sim \sqrt{\delta} \sim S^{-1}$ or $\delta \sim S^{-2}$. Since $\xi = \delta S^2 = \mathcal{O}(1)$ is indeed a physical characteristic of the problem, a distinguished limit can be established by choosing $\beta \equiv \sqrt{\varepsilon}S = \sqrt{\delta}$. The balanced series in Eq. (10) becomes

$$\left(iS\sqrt{\delta} - Fz_0' \right) + \sqrt{\delta} \left[z_0'^2 + 2(n+1)F' - Fz_1' \right] + \delta \left[(z_0'' + 2z_0'z_1') - Fz_2' \right] + \delta \sqrt{\delta} \left[(z_1'' + z_1'^2 + 2z_0'z_2') - Fz_3' \right] + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2) \equiv 0$$
(11)

For $\delta \sim S^{-1}$, it can be seen from (9) that the distinguished limit must be $\beta \equiv \delta$. Recalling that $\varepsilon = \delta / S$, one can multiply Eq. (9) by $S\delta$ and write

$$z_{0}^{\prime 2} + \delta(z_{0}^{\prime \prime} + 2z_{0}^{\prime} z_{1}^{\prime}) + \delta^{2}(z_{1}^{\prime \prime} + z_{1}^{\prime 2} + 2z_{0}^{\prime} z_{2}^{\prime}) + \delta^{3}(z_{2}^{\prime \prime} + 2z_{0}^{\prime} z_{3}^{\prime} + 2z_{1}^{\prime} z_{2}^{\prime}) -F(z_{0}^{\prime} + \delta z_{1}^{\prime} + \delta^{2} z_{2}^{\prime} + \delta^{3} z_{3}^{\prime}) + [iS + 2(n+1)F^{\prime}]\delta + \mathcal{O}(\delta^{4}) = 0$$
(12)

A simple rearrangement yields the progressively diminishing series

$$(z_0'^2 - Fz_0' + iS\delta) + \delta \Big[(z_0'' + 2z_0'z_1') - Fz_1' + 2(n+1)F' \Big] + \delta^2 (z_1'' + z_1'^2 + 2z_0'z_2' - Fz_2') + \delta^3 (z_2'' + 2z_0'z_3' + 2z_1'z_2' - Fz_3') + \mathcal{O}(\delta^4) \equiv 0$$
(13)

C. WKB Solution of Type I

For $\beta = \sqrt{\delta}$, $\delta \sim S^{-2}$, terms of like power in δ can be collected. The dominant part in Eq. (11), namely, $-Fz_0' + iS\sqrt{\delta} = 0$, $z_0(0) = 0$, can be readily integrated into

$$z_0(y) = \mathrm{i}S\sqrt{\delta}\int_0^y F^{-1}(z)\mathrm{d}z \tag{14}$$

Similarly, the $\mathcal{O}(1)$ equation, $-Fz_1' + z_0'^2 + 2(1+n)F' = 0$, $z_1(0) = 0$, leads to

$$z_{1}(y) = -\xi \int_{0}^{y} F^{-3}(z) dz + 2(1+n) \ln(F/F_{0}); \quad \xi = \delta S^{2}$$
(15)

Next, $-Fz_2' + z_0'' + 2z_0'z_1' = 0$ can be integrated with $z_2(0) = 0$. One finds

$$z_{2}(y) = \int_{0}^{y} F^{-1} \left(z_{0}'' + 2z_{0}' z_{1}' \right) \mathrm{d}z = \mathrm{i}S\sqrt{\delta} \left\{ -2\xi \int_{0}^{y} F^{-5}(z) \mathrm{d}z + \left(2n + \frac{3}{2} \right) \left[F_{0}^{-2} - F^{-2}(y) \right] \right\}$$
(16)

By substituting (14)–(16) back into Eq. (8) and using W for WKB, one obtains the complete zeroth-order solution in δ . This is

$$Y_{n}^{(0)}(y) = \left(F / F_{0}\right)^{2n+2} \exp(\zeta_{0}^{W} - i\Phi_{0}^{W} - i\Phi_{1n}^{W}) + \mathcal{O}(\delta)$$
(17)

where

$$\zeta_0^W = -\xi \int_0^y F^{-3} \mathrm{d}z \,, \ \Phi_0^W = -S \int_0^y F^{-1} \mathrm{d}z \,, \ \Phi_{1n}^W = \delta S \left\{ 2\xi \int_0^y F^{-5}(z) \mathrm{d}z + \left(2n + \frac{3}{2}\right) \left[F^{-2}(y) - F_0^{-2}\right] \right\}$$
(18)

Equation (17) defines the basic WKB solution. This expression constitutes an improvement over the $\mathcal{O}(\delta S)$ solution reported by Majdalani.¹⁰ The inclusion of Φ_{1n}^W is necessary to complete the leading-order WKB expression.

Higher-order solutions may be generated recursively. However, two successive corrections in z_k are needed to advance, at each level, by one order in δ . For example, z_3 and z_4 can be evaluated from

$$z_{3} = \int_{0}^{y} F^{-1} \left(z_{1}^{\prime 2} + z_{1}^{\prime \prime} + 2z_{0}^{\prime} z_{2}^{\prime} \right) dz = 2 \left(n + 1 \right) \left[F^{\prime} F^{-2} + \left(2n + 3 \right) \int_{0}^{y} F^{\prime 2} F^{-3} dz \right] + \frac{1}{4} \xi \left(12n + 7 \right) \left(F^{-4} - F_{0}^{-4} \right) + 5\xi^{2} \int_{0}^{y} F^{-7} dz$$

$$z_{4} = \int_{0}^{y} F^{-1} \left(z_{2}^{\prime \prime} + 2z_{0}^{\prime} z_{3}^{\prime} + 2z_{1}^{\prime} z_{2}^{\prime} \right) dz = iS \sqrt{\delta} \left\{ \left(8n + 7 \right) F^{\prime} F^{-4} + \left(24n^{2} + 60n + 35 \right) \int_{0}^{y} F^{\prime 2} F^{-5} dz \right.$$

$$\left. + \frac{1}{3} \left(20n + 9 \right) \xi \left(F^{-6} - F_{0}^{-6} \right) + 14\xi^{2} \int_{0}^{y} F^{-9} dz \right\}$$

$$(20)$$

The corresponding corrections (i.e., $\zeta_{1n}^W = \delta z_3$ and $\Phi_{2n}^W = i\delta^{3/2}z_4$) involve higher-order integrals; nonetheless, they may be added to the real and imaginary arguments in (17) to arrive at a solution of $\mathcal{O}(\delta^2)$. Using the solution order as a superscript, it can be seen from Eq. (8) that

$$Y_{n}^{(0)} = \left(F / F_{0}\right)^{2n+2} \exp(\zeta_{0}^{W} - \mathrm{i}\Phi_{0}^{W} - \mathrm{i}\Phi_{1n}^{W}) + \mathcal{O}(\delta)$$
(21)

$$Y_{n}^{(1)} = \left(F / F_{0}\right)^{2n+2} \exp(\zeta_{0}^{W} + \zeta_{1n}^{W} - i\Phi_{0}^{W} - i\Phi_{1n}^{W} - i\Phi_{2n}^{W}) + \mathcal{O}(\delta^{2})$$
(22)

so that, for $j \ge 0$,

$$Y_{n}^{(j)} = \left(F / F_{0}\right)^{2n+2} \exp\left(\sum_{k=0}^{j} \zeta_{kn}^{W} - i\sum_{k=0}^{j+1} \Phi_{kn}^{W}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\delta^{j+1})$$
(23)

where $\zeta_{kn}^{W} = \delta^{k} z_{2k+1}$ and $\Phi_{kn}^{W} = i \delta^{k-\frac{1}{2}} z_{2k}$. Higher-order corrections may be derived from

$$z_{5} = \int_{0}^{y} F^{-1} \left(z_{2}^{\prime 2} + z_{3}^{\prime \prime} + 2z_{0}^{\prime} z_{4}^{\prime} + 2z_{1}^{\prime} z_{3}^{\prime} \right) \mathrm{d}z, \ z_{6} = \int_{0}^{y} F^{-1} \left(z_{4}^{\prime \prime} + 2z_{0}^{\prime} z_{5}^{\prime} + 2z_{1}^{\prime} z_{4}^{\prime} + 2z_{2}^{\prime} z_{3}^{\prime} \right) \mathrm{d}z, \ \text{etc.}$$
(24)

Based on z_0 and z_1 , recurring trends may be carefully examined to deduce

$$z_{k+2} = \int_{0}^{y} F^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - (-1)^{k} \right] z_{\frac{1}{2}(k+1)}^{\prime 2} + z_{k}^{\prime \prime} + 2 \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{1}{2}k} z_{i}^{\prime} z_{k-i+1}^{\prime} \right\} \mathrm{d}z; \ k \in \mathbb{N}$$
(25)

When substituted back into Eq. (8), Eq. (25) leads to

$$Y_{n}^{(j)} = \exp\left[\delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}z_{0} + z_{1} + \sum_{k=0}^{2j}\delta^{\frac{1}{2}(k+1)}z_{k+2}\right] + \mathcal{O}(\delta^{j+1})$$
(26)

Finally, after some effort, we obtain the jth order WKB solution of type I, specifically

$$Y_{n}^{(j)} = \left(F / F_{0}\right)^{2n+2} \exp\left[-\xi \int_{0}^{y} F^{-3} dz + iS \int_{0}^{y} F^{-1} dz + \sum_{k=0}^{2j} \delta^{\frac{1}{2}(k+1)} z_{k+2}\right] + \mathcal{O}(\delta^{j+1})$$

$$= \left(\frac{F}{F_{0}}\right)^{2n+2} \exp\left[\int_{0}^{y} F^{-1} \left(-\xi F^{-2} + iS + \sum_{k=0}^{2j} \delta^{\frac{1}{2}(k+1)} \left\{\frac{1}{2} \left[1 - (-1)^{k}\right] z_{\frac{1}{2}(k+1)}^{\prime 2} + z_{k}^{\prime \prime} + 2\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{1}{2}k} z_{i}^{\prime} z_{k-i+1}^{\prime \prime}\right]\right] dz + \mathcal{O}(\delta^{j+1})$$
(27)

From the WKB solution of type I, it can be shown that for odd values of j, a singularity arises as $y \to 1^-$, $\forall n$. The nonuniformity near the core can be attributed to the appearance of a shear layer that arises when small exponential corrections in even powers of δ are retained. The presence of a boundary layer near the core is consistent with the classic theory of laminar, injection-driven flows (see Terrill¹⁷⁻¹⁸).

D. WKB Solution of Type II

For $\beta = \delta$, $\delta \sim S^{-1}$, terms of integral powers in δ may be segregated. The eikonal equation in Eq. (13), namely, $z_0'^2 - Fz_0' + iS\delta = 0$, $z_0(0) = 0$, yields two possible solutions. These correspond to

$$z_{0}(y) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{y} \left[F(z) \mp \sqrt{F^{2}(z) - 4iS\delta} \right] dz$$
(28)

In turn, the transport equation, $(z_0'' + 2z_0'z_1') - Fz_1' + 2(n+1)F' = 0$, $z_1(0) = 0$, can be managed in closed form. Integration requires the use of

$$\int \left(F' / \sqrt{F^2 - 4\mathrm{i}S\delta}\right) \mathrm{d}z = \ln\left[F(z) + \sqrt{F^2(z) - 4\mathrm{i}S\delta}\right] + c \tag{29}$$

and leads to the dual solutions

$$z_{1}(y) = \int_{0}^{y} \frac{z_{0}'' + 2(n+1)F'}{F - 2z_{0}'} dz = \ln\left\{ \left[\frac{F_{0}^{2} - 4iS\delta}{F^{2}(y) - 4iS\delta} \right]^{\frac{1}{4}} \left[\frac{F(y) + \sqrt{F^{2}(y) - 4iS\delta}}{F_{0} + \sqrt{F_{0}^{2} - 4iS\delta}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}(2n+\frac{5}{2})} \right\}$$
(30)

Using K_n to denote the WKB solution of type II, the linearity of Eq. (2) enables us to put, at $\mathcal{O}(\delta)$,

$$K_{n} = C_{1} \left[\frac{F_{0}^{2} - 4iS\delta}{F^{2}(y) - 4iS\delta} \right]^{\frac{1}{4}} \left[\frac{F(y) + \sqrt{F^{2}(y) - 4iS\delta}}{F_{0} + \sqrt{F_{0}^{2} - 4iS\delta}} \right]^{2n + \frac{3}{2}} \exp\left\{ \frac{1}{2} \delta^{-1} \int_{0}^{y} \left[F(z) - \sqrt{F^{2}(z) - 4iS\delta} \right] dz \right\} \\ + C_{2} \left[\frac{F_{0}^{2} - 4iS\delta}{F^{2}(y) - 4iS\delta} \right]^{\frac{1}{4}} \left[\frac{F(y) + \sqrt{F^{2}(y) - 4iS\delta}}{F_{0} + \sqrt{F_{0}^{2} - 4iS\delta}} \right]^{-2n - \frac{5}{2}} \exp\left\{ \frac{1}{2} \delta^{-1} \int_{0}^{y} \left[F(z) + \sqrt{F^{2}(z) - 4iS\delta} \right] dz \right\}$$
(31)

At this juncture, the two constants $C_1 = 1$ and $C_2 = 0$ are determined such that the two boundary conditions, $K_n(0) = 1$ and $K'_n(1) = 0$ are secured. The result is

$$Y_{n}^{K} \equiv K_{n}^{(0)} = \left[\frac{F_{0}^{2} - 4iS\delta}{F^{2}(y) - 4iS\delta}\right]^{\frac{1}{4}} \left[\frac{F(y) + \sqrt{F^{2}(y) - 4iS\delta}}{F_{0} + \sqrt{F_{0}^{2} - 4iS\delta}}\right]^{2n+\frac{3}{2}} \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2}\delta^{-1}\int_{0}^{y} \left[F(z) - \sqrt{F^{2}(z) - 4iS\delta}\right]dz\right\}$$
(32)

Recalling from (6) that $F_0 = 1$ and F(1) = 0, Eq. (32) may be evaluated at the core. One finds

$$Y_n^K(1) = \delta^{n+1} \left(\sqrt{1 - 4\mathrm{i}S\delta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\mathrm{i}S\delta}\right)^{-2n-\frac{5}{2}} \left(-4\mathrm{i}S\right)^{n+1} \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2}\delta^{-1}\int_0^1 \left(F - \sqrt{F^2 - 4\mathrm{i}S\delta}\right) \mathrm{d}z\right\} \sim \mathcal{O}(\delta^{n+1})$$
(33)
Further corrections can be systematically unrevealed. From $\alpha'' + \alpha'^2 + 2\alpha'\alpha' - E\alpha' = 0$, one gets

Further corrections can be systematically unraveled. From $z_1'' + z_1'^2 + 2z_0'z_2' - Fz_2' = 0$, one gets

$$z_{2} = \int_{0}^{y} (z_{1}'' + z_{1}^{2}) / (F - 2z_{0}') dz$$
(34)

Similarly, the $\mathcal{O}(\delta^3)$ equation $z_2'' + 2z_0'z_3' + 2z_1'z_2' - Fz_3' = 0$ gives $z_3 = \int_0^y (z_2'' + 2z_1'z_2')/(F - 2z_0')dz$. A recurrence formula is identified for $k \ge 0$ such that

$$z_{k+3} = \int_{0}^{y} (z_{k+2}'' + 2z_1' z_{k+2}' + \sum_{i=1}^{k} z_{i+1}' z_{k+2-i}') / (F - 2z_0') \mathrm{d}z$$
(35)

This expression enables us to write, for $j \ge 2$,

$$K_{n}^{(j)} = \exp\left[\delta^{-1}z_{0} + z_{1} + \delta z_{2} + \sum_{k=0}^{j-2} \delta^{k+2} z_{k+3}\right] + \mathcal{O}(\delta^{j+1})$$

$$= K_{n}^{(0)} \exp\int_{0}^{y} \left\{ (F - 2z_{0}')^{-1} \left[\delta(z_{1}'' + z_{1}^{2}) + \sum_{k=0}^{j-2} \delta^{k+2} (z_{k+2}'' + 2z_{1}' z_{k+2}' + \sum_{i=1}^{k} z_{i+1}' z_{k+2-i}') \right] \mathrm{d}z \right\} + \mathcal{O}(\delta^{j+1})$$
(36)

E. Other Possible Limits

For $\beta = \delta^{1/3}$, $\delta \sim S^{-3}$, a type III expansion may also be conceived. As usual, terms of equal powers in δ may be combined and the leading-order terms that emerge in Eq. (10), namely, $z'_0 - iS\delta^{1/3}F^{-1} = 0$, $z_0(0) = 0$, can be integrated. One gets

$$z_{0}(y) = iS\delta^{1/3} \int_{0}^{y} F^{-1}(z)dz$$
(37)

In like fashion, one extracts, $z'_1 = 2(n+1)F^{-1}F'$, $z'_2 = F^{-1}z'^2_0$, $z_1(0) = z_2(0) = 0$, and so on. These first order ODEs yield

$$z_1(y) = 2\left(n+1\right)\ln\left(F / F_0\right); \ \ z_2(y) = -S^2 \delta^{2/3} \int_0^y F^{-3}(z) \mathrm{d}z \tag{38}$$

$$z_{3} = \int_{0}^{y} F^{-1} \left(2z_{0}' z_{1}' + z_{0}'' \right) \mathrm{d}z = \mathrm{i}S\delta^{1/3} \left(2n + \frac{3}{2} \right) \left(F_{0}^{-2} - F^{-2} \right)$$
(39)

Starting at z_3 , the type III formulation is seen reproduce the type I solution obtained at a previous order. As before, a recursive formula may be identified for $k \ge 0$, specifically,

$$z_{k+3} = \int_0^y F^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \Big[1 - (-1)^k \Big] z_{\frac{1}{2}(k+1)}^{\prime 2} + z_k^{\prime \prime} + 2 \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{1}{2}k} z_i^{\prime} z_{k-i+1}^{\prime} \right\} \mathrm{d}z; \ k \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\tag{40}$$

Thus, for $j \ge 2$, one is left with

$$B_{n}^{(j)} = \left(F / F_{0}\right)^{2n+2} \exp\left(iS \int_{0}^{y} F^{-1}(z) dz - \xi \int_{0}^{y} F^{-3}(z) dz + \sum_{k=0}^{j-2} \delta^{\frac{1}{3}(k+2)} z_{k+3}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\delta^{j+1})$$

$$= \left(\frac{F}{F_{0}}\right)^{2n+2} \exp\left[\int_{0}^{y} F^{-1} \left(-\xi F^{-2} + iS + \sum_{k=0}^{j-2} \delta^{\frac{1}{3}(k+2)} \left\{\frac{1}{2} \left[1 - (-1)^{k}\right] z_{\frac{1}{2}(k+1)}^{\prime 2} + z_{k}^{\prime \prime} + 2\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{1}{2}k} z_{i}^{\prime} z_{k-i+1}^{\prime \prime}\right]\right) dz \right] + \mathcal{O}(\delta^{j+1}) \quad (41)$$

where $B_n^{(j)}$ refers to the WKB approximation of type III. Interestingly, it may be shown that $B_n^{(j)}$ reproduces $Y_n^{(j)}$ when truncated at the same order in δ . This trend extends to other types of expansions that may be obtained using distinguished limits corresponding to $\delta \sim S^{-4}, S^{-5}, S^{-6}$, etc. These appear to represent slower converging series of type I that share similar physical attributes. For this reason, only the Type I expansion will be considered in the remainder of this study.

F. Total Velocity Field

To express the time-dependent axial velocity, one substitutes the eigenfunctions into Eq. (1). Based on the WKB solution of type I, one obtains

$$u_1^W(x, y, t) = i\sin(\omega_m x)\exp(-i\omega_m t) - i(F / F_0)\sin(\omega_m x F / F_0)\exp[\zeta^W - i(\omega_m t + \Phi^W)] + \mathcal{O}(\delta)$$
(42)

The real part may be readily retrieved and written as

$$u_1^W(x, y, t) = \sin\left(\omega_m x\right) \sin\left(\omega_m t\right) - (F / F_0) \sin\left(\omega_m x F / F_0\right) \exp\zeta^W \sin\left(\omega_m t + \Phi^W\right) + \mathcal{O}(\delta)$$
(43)

As for the arguments in (43), they can be determined from the known functions

$$\zeta^{W} = -\delta S^{2} \int_{0}^{y} F^{-3}(z) \mathrm{d}z , \quad \Phi^{W} = -S \int_{0}^{y} F^{-1}(z) \mathrm{d}z - \delta S \left[\frac{3}{2} \left(F_{0}^{-2} - F^{-2} \right) - 2\delta S^{2} \int_{0}^{y} F^{-5}(z) \mathrm{d}z \right]$$
(44)

Attempts to express Eq. (43) at orders higher than $\delta \sim S^{-2}$ are needless because u_1 is derived from the momentum equation at the order of S^{-2} . This constitutes another justification for dismissing asymptotic expansions that occur at $\delta \sim S^{-k}$; $k \ge 3$.

Based on the WKB solution of type II, one can start with

$$u_1^K(x,y,t) = \operatorname{i}\sin(\omega_m x)\exp(-\mathrm{i}\omega_m t) - \operatorname{i}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(-1\right)^n \left(\omega_m x\right)^{2n+1}}{\left(2n+1\right)!} K_n(y)\exp\left(-\mathrm{i}\omega_m t\right) + \mathcal{O}(\delta)$$
(45)

and then insert Eq. (32). One finds

$$u_{1}^{K}(x,y,t) = i\sin(\omega_{m}x)\exp(-i\omega_{m}t) - i\exp(-i\omega_{m}t)\exp\left\{\frac{1}{2}\delta^{-1}\int_{0}^{y} \left[F(z) - \sqrt{F^{2}(z) - 4iS\delta}\right]dz\right\}$$

$$\times \left(\frac{F_{0}^{2} - 4iS\delta}{F^{2} - 4iS\delta}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\frac{F + \sqrt{F^{2} - 4iS\delta}}{F_{0} + \sqrt{F_{0}^{2} - 4iS\delta}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} (\omega_{m}x)^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} \left(\frac{F + \sqrt{F^{2} - 4iS\delta}}{F_{0} + \sqrt{F_{0}^{2} - 4iS\delta}}\right)^{2n+1} + \mathcal{O}(\delta)$$
(46)

At this juncture, the MacLaurin series expansion of the sine function can be identified in Eq. (46). This enables us to simplify Eq. (46) into

$$u_1^K(x,y,t) = \mathrm{i}\sin(\omega_m x)\exp(-\mathrm{i}\omega_m t) - \mathrm{i}\exp\left(-\mathrm{i}\omega_m t\right)\exp\left\{\frac{1}{2}\delta^{-1}\int_0^y \left[F(z) - \sqrt{F^2(z) - 4\mathrm{i}S\delta}\right]\mathrm{d}z\right\}$$

$$\times \left(\frac{F_0^2 - 4\mathrm{i}S\delta}{F^2 - 4\mathrm{i}S\delta}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\frac{F + \sqrt{F^2 - 4\mathrm{i}S\delta}}{F_0 + \sqrt{F_0^2 - 4\mathrm{i}S\delta}}\right)^{\frac{2}{2}} \sin\left[\omega_m x(F + \sqrt{F^2 - 4\mathrm{i}S\delta}) / (F_0 + \sqrt{F_0^2 - 4\mathrm{i}S\delta})\right] + \mathcal{O}(\delta) \tag{47}$$

A closed form type II solution depends on the tacit integration of the exponential argument in Eq. (47). Despite its accuracy and well-behaved character, the radical in the integrand of u_1^K makes it less susceptible to integration. Hence, in cases for which numerical evaluation is unavoidable, the type I u_1^W becomes more practical.

IV. Illustrative Examples

Two applications to porous channels may be considered for which $F = 1 - \frac{3}{2}y^2 + \frac{1}{2}y^3$ when 10 < R < 100and $F = \cos(\frac{1}{2}\pi y)$ when $R \to \infty$. Moreover, the test case for $F = \alpha(1-y)$ will be investigated as it represents a generic function that can effectively mimic the asymptotic behavior of the solution near the core.

A. Asymptotic Solutions for Small and Large R

For small and large R, the type I solution $Y_n^{(0)}$ may be determined directly from

$$\int_{0}^{y} F^{-1}(z) dz = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3} \ln\left[\left(1+y-\frac{1}{2}y^{2}\right)\left(1-y\right)^{2}\right], & \text{small } R\\ \frac{2}{\pi} \ln \tan\left[\frac{\pi}{4}\left(1+y\right)\right], & \text{large } R \end{cases}$$

$$\int_{0}^{y} F^{-3}(z) dz = \begin{cases} \frac{4}{27} \ln \frac{3-r^{2}}{2r^{2}} + \frac{4r^{4}-18r^{2}+12}{9r^{2}(3-r^{2})^{2}} + \frac{1}{18}, & \text{small } R \end{cases}$$

$$(48)$$

$$(48)$$

$$F^{-3}(z)dz = \begin{cases} 27 & 2r^2 & 9r^2(3-r^2)^2 & 18\\ \frac{1}{\pi} \left[\ln \tan \frac{1}{4}\pi \left(1+y \right) + \sec \left(\frac{1}{2}\pi y \right) \tan \left(\frac{1}{2}\pi y \right) \right], & \text{large } R \end{cases}$$
(49)

where $r \equiv 1 - y$ and

$$\int_{0}^{y} F^{-5}(z) dz = \begin{cases} \frac{4}{729} \left\{ \frac{147}{16} + 20 \ln(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{2}r^{-2}) + 3 \left[2 + y^{2}(y-3) \right]^{-4} \left[-49 + y(y-2) \left(-326 + 5y(y-2) \right) \right] \right\} \\ \times \left\{ 31 + 2y(y-2) \left[-2 + y(y-2) \right] \left[-7 + 2y(y-2) \right] \right\} \end{cases} \right\}, \text{ small } R \qquad (50)$$

Note that for both small and large R, Eq. (17) gives $Y_0^{(0)}(1) = 0$ at the core. For the type II solution, the leading-order expansion Eq. (32) may be evaluated for large R to arrive at

$$K_{n}^{(0)} = \left(\frac{1-4\mathrm{i}S\delta}{\mathrm{cos}^{2}\theta - 4\mathrm{i}S\delta}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\frac{\mathrm{cos}\theta + \sqrt{\mathrm{cos}^{2}\theta - 4\mathrm{i}S\delta}}{1+\sqrt{1-4\mathrm{i}S\delta}}\right)^{2n+\frac{2}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{1}{\pi\delta}\left\{\mathrm{sin}\,\theta - \sqrt{1-4\mathrm{i}S\delta}E\left[\theta \mid (1-4\mathrm{i}S\delta)^{-1}\right]\right\}\right)$$
(51)

where $E(\theta \mid x) = \int_0^{\infty} \sqrt{1 - x \sin^2 z} \, dz$ is the elliptic integral of the second kind. At the core, one regains the complete elliptic integral $E(x) \equiv E(\frac{1}{2}\pi \mid x) = \pi \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{8}x - \frac{3}{128}x^2 - \frac{5}{512}x^3 - \frac{175}{32768}x^4 + \ldots\right)$. Consequently, one may express

$$K_{n}^{(0)}(1) = \delta^{n+1} \left(-4\mathrm{i}S\right)^{n+1} \left(\sqrt{1-4\mathrm{i}S\delta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1+\sqrt{1-4\mathrm{i}S\delta}\right)^{-2n-\frac{5}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{1}{\pi\delta} \left\{1-\sqrt{1-4\mathrm{i}S\delta}E\left[(1-4\mathrm{i}S\delta)^{-1}\right]\right\}\right)$$
(52)

Higher-order approximations may be sequentially generated. For small R, however, only a quasi-analytical solution is possible due to the inability to integrate the eikonal equation except by computer. This issue is not present in the WKB solution of type I.

For large R, a comparison between numerics and asymptotics is provided in Table 1. As usual, the agreement between asymptotics and numerics improves at higher orders. For the type II approximations (second set of columns), it is clear that $K_n^{(j)}$ remains uniformly valid $\forall j$. Furthermore, $K_n^{(j)}$ is seen to predict the $\mathcal{O}(\delta^{n+1})$ value obtained numerically at y = 1. This result is consistent with (52). In contrast, the type I solution is shown to exhibit a region of nonuniformity in the vicinity of y = 1. Whereas both $Y_n^{(0)}$ and $Y_n^{(2)}$ vanish at the endpoint, $Y_n^{(1)}$ becomes suddenly unbounded as $y \to 1^-$. This behavior signals the presence of a boundary layer that is not accounted for in the WKB representation of type I. A solution that incorporates small changes inside this layer is therefore necessary.

		WKB Type I			Numerical		
y	$Y_0^{(0)}$	$Y_0^{(1)}$	$Y_0^{(2)}$	$K_0^{(0)}$	$K_0^{(1)}$	$K_0^{(2)}$	Y_0^N
0.00	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
0.05	0.8676644	0.8674551	0.8674590	0.8676685	0.8674590	0.8674590	0.8674590
0.10	0.5188763	0.5186301	0.5186429	0.5188833	0.5186428	0.5186429	0.5186429
0.20	-0.3939219	-0.3935576	-0.3935347	-0.3939428	-0.3935340	-0.3935347	-0.3935347
0.30	-0.7672007	-0.7662141	-0.7662170	-0.7672949	-0.7662147	-0.7662170	-0.7662171
0.40	-0.2589166	-0.2585327	-0.2585659	-0.2589812	-0.2585645	-0.2585659	-0.2585659
0.50	0.3586916	0.3582113	0.3582018	0.3589124	0.3581976	0.3582018	0.3582018
0.60	0.2062112	0.2061807	0.2061778	0.2064960	0.2061744	0.2061778	0.2061778
0.70	-0.1574955	-0.1582490	-0.1582805	-0.1582560	-0.1582757	-0.1582805	-0.1582805
0.80	0.0345428	0.0357267	0.0360158	0.0356684	0.0360156	0.0360158	0.0360158
0.90	-0.0049035	-0.0104253	-0.0091613	-0.0088040	-0.0091688	-0.0091612	-0.0091613
0.95	-0.0000306	34513650.	0.0008021	0.0006995	0.0008034	0.0008017	0.0008015
1.00	0	∞	0	-0.0000111	-0.0000168	-0.0000170	-0.0000339

Table 1. Comparison between numerical and asymptotic solutions using the two WKB approximations, $Y_n^{(j)}$ and $K_n^{(j)}$, given at $\mathcal{O}(\delta^{j+1})$. Here S = 10, $R = 10^3$, n = 0, and $F = \cos(\frac{1}{2}\pi y)$

B. Exact and Asymptotic Solutions Near the Core

Consider $F = \alpha r$ where $\alpha = (\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\pi)$ can mimic the small or large injection flow behavior near the core. As shown in Appendix B, Eq. (7) can be solved exactly and put in the form

$$Y_{n}^{E} = \exp\left[\frac{1}{2}\alpha\delta^{-1}\left(1-r^{2}\right)\right]\frac{\Phi\left(n+\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{2}i\alpha^{-1}S,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\alpha\delta^{-1}r^{2}\right)}{\Phi\left(n+\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{2}i\alpha^{-1}S,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\alpha\delta^{-1}\right)}$$
(53)

Using the WKB expansion of type I, one can obtain an approximation to any desired order such as

$$Y_n^{(0)} = r^{2n+2-iS/\alpha} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha^{-3}\delta S^2(r^{-2}-1) - \frac{1}{2}i\alpha^{-2}\delta S(r^{-2}-1)\left[3 + 4n + \delta S^2\alpha^{-3}(r^{-2}+1)\right]\right\} + \mathcal{O}(\delta)$$
(54)

$$Y_n^{(1)} = Y_n^{(0)} \exp\left(\alpha^{-1}\delta(1+n)(1+2n)(r^{-2}-1) + \frac{1}{4}\alpha^{-4}\delta^2 S(r^{-4}-1)\left\{(7+12n)S + i\alpha\left[7+4n(7+6n)\right]\right\} + \frac{1}{3}\alpha^{-7}\delta^3 S^3(r^{-6}-1)\left[\frac{5}{2}S + i\alpha(9+20n)\right] + \frac{7}{4}i\alpha^{-9}\delta^4 S^5(r^{-8}-1)\right) + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2)$$
(55)

$$Y_{n}^{(2)} = Y_{n}^{(1)} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\alpha^{-2}\delta^{2}S^{4}(1+n)(1+2n)(1+4n)(r^{-4}-1) - \frac{1}{3}\alpha^{-5}\delta^{3}S(r^{-6}-1)\left\{2\left[5+3n(9+10n)\right]S^{2}\right\} + \frac{1}{2}i\alpha(1+4n)(9+44n+40n^{2})\right\} - \frac{1}{8}\alpha^{-8}\delta^{4}S^{3}(r^{-8}-1)\left\{(47+140n)S+2i\alpha[25+4n(47+70n)]\right\} - \frac{1}{5}\alpha^{-11}\delta^{5}S^{5}(r^{-10}-1)\left[21S+i\alpha(59+252n)\right] - 11i\alpha^{-13}\delta^{6}S^{7}(r^{-12}-1)\right) + \mathcal{O}(\delta^{3})$$
(56)

The WKB approximation of type II can also be evaluated for the problem at hand. One obtains the leading-order solution from Eq. (32). At $O(\delta)$, one finds

$$K_{n}^{(0)} = \left(\frac{\alpha^{2} - 4\mathrm{i}S\delta}{\alpha^{2}r^{2} - 4\mathrm{i}S\delta}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\frac{\alpha r + \sqrt{\alpha^{2}r^{2} - 4\mathrm{i}S\delta}}{\alpha + \sqrt{\alpha^{2} - 4\mathrm{i}S\delta}}\right)^{2n + \frac{2}{2} - \mathrm{i}\alpha^{-1}S} \exp\left\{\frac{\alpha}{4\delta} \left[1 - r^{2} + r\sqrt{r^{2} - 4\mathrm{i}\frac{S\delta}{\alpha^{2}}} - \sqrt{1 - 4\mathrm{i}\frac{S\delta}{\alpha^{2}}}\right]\right\}$$
(57)

In like fashion, one arrives at

$$\frac{K_n^{(1)}}{K_n^{(0)}} = \exp\left\{\frac{-(\frac{5}{2}+2n)\alpha^3(1-r^2)}{(\alpha^2-4iS\delta)(\alpha^2r^2-4iS\delta)} + \frac{1}{24}\alpha^2(138+240n+96n^2)\left[(\alpha^2-4iS\delta)^{-\frac{3}{2}} - r(\alpha^2r^2-4iS\delta)^{-\frac{3}{2}}\right] + \frac{1}{24}i\alpha^4S^{-1}\delta^{-1}(37+60n+24n^2)\left[(\alpha^2-4iS\delta)^{-\frac{3}{2}} - r^3(\alpha^2r^2-4iS\delta)^{-\frac{3}{2}}\right]\right\} + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2)$$
(58)

Higher-order terms are preferably derived from Eq. (36) using symbolic programming.

		WKB Type I		WKB Type II			Exact
y	$Y_0^{(0)}$	$Y_0^{(1)}$	$Y_0^{(2)}$	$K_0^{(0)}$	$K_0^{(1)}$	$K_0^{(2)}$	Y_0^E
0.00	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
0.05	-0.0556490	-0.0556491	-0.0556491	-0.0556490	-0.0556491	-0.0556491	-0.0556491
0.10	-0.7894993	-0.7895045	-0.7895045	-0.7894998	-0.7895045	-0.7895045	-0.7895045
0.20	0.4339311	0.4339380	0.4339380	0.4339318	0.4339380	0.4339380	0.4339380
0.30	0.1687017	0.1687069	0.1687069	0.1687024	0.1687069	0.1687069	0.1687069
0.40	-0.2999705	-0.2999864	-0.2999864	-0.2999728	-0.2999864	-0.2999864	-0.2999864
0.50	-0.2399436	-0.2399662	-0.2399662	-0.2399479	-0.2399662	-0.2399662	-0.2399662
0.60	-0.0946533	-0.0946702	-0.0946702	-0.0946577	-0.0946702	-0.0946702	-0.0946702
0.70	0.0647037	0.0647305	0.0647305	0.0647140	0.0647305	0.0647305	0.0647305
0.80	0.0175739	0.0175998	0.0175997	0.0175895	0.0175998	0.0175997	0.0175997
0.90	-0.0019185	-0.0019641	-0.0019629	-0.0019589	-0.0019630	-0.0019630	-0.0019630
0.95	0.0000072	0.0000413	0.0000180	0.0000259	0.0000307	0.0000307	0.0000261
1.00	0	∞	0	-3.10×10 ⁻¹⁵	-7.35×10 ⁻¹⁵	-7.36×10 ⁻¹⁵	-6.62×10 ⁻¹⁵

Table 2. Comparison between exact and asymptotic solutions using the two WKB approximations. Here S = 50, $R = 25 \times 10^3$, n = 0, and $F = \frac{1}{2}\pi(1-y)$



Figure 2. Error between exact and asymptotic entries given in Table 2 for a) n = 0, and b) n = 10. Despite its consistent precision in the outer region, $Y^{(1)}$ becomes singular as $y \to 1^-$.

C. Comparing Exact and Asymptotic Solutions

The two WKB formulations of increasing orders are compared to the exact solution in Table 2 for a typical set of physical parameters. The agreement between exact and asymptotics, often manifested in several decimal places, helps to confirm the accuracy of the foregoing analysis. As alluded to earlier, it can be seen that the WKB solution of type I yields, in an alternating fashion, either zero or infinity at the core. Being inconsistent with the finite value of Y_n^E , this behavior suggests investigating an inner solution to supplement $Y_{n}^{(j)}$ near the core. To gain a clearer picture, differences between exact and asymptotic predictions are plotted in Fig. 2 for $F = \frac{1}{2}\pi(1-y)$ at n = 0 and n = 10. In Fig. 2a, it can be seen that the errors associated with $Y_n^{(j)}$ and $K_n^{(j)}$ conform to their reported truncation orders, with $K_n^{(j)}$ being somewhat more accurate. Figure 2b, on the other hand, shows that the error in each approximation diminishes as n is increased. It also illustrates the improved agreement between $Y_n^{(j)}$ and $K_n^{(j)}$ at higher values of n. An exception arises in $Y_n^{(1)}$ (odd) which is seen to diverge as $y \to 1^-$. The corresponding region of breakdown is visible in the range $0.96 \le y \le 1$. The core-singularity that arises in the WKB solution of type I is difficult to detect because $Y_n^{(1)}$ remains well-behaved and of $\mathcal{O}(\delta^2)$ outside the thin region of nonuniformity. This singularity does not affect the type II $K_n^{(j)}$, $\forall j$. It will be later shown that the core singularity affects the WKB type I solution to any odd order. Means to suppress it will also be investigated, including the construction of an inner correction that can be patched onto $Y_n^{(2j+1)}$.

D. Endpoint Singularity at Even Orders of $\delta \sim S^{-2}$

It should be noted that as $y \to 1^-$, the WKB solution of type I becomes suddenly unbounded at even orders of δ . This can be explained by considering, for example, the real part of the exponential argument in $Y_n^{(1)}$. This argument controls the wave amplitude and is strongly dominated at the core by $\frac{5}{6}\alpha^{-7}\delta^3 S^4 r^{-6} \longrightarrow \infty$ for fixed S, δ , and n. Since the wave amplitude is dictated by $r^{2n+2} \exp\left(\frac{5}{6}\alpha^{-7}\delta^3 S^4 r^{-6}\right)$ as $r \to 0^+$, the exponential singularity cannot be suppressed by the vanishing polynomial. This unbounded character alternates between successive orders in $Y_n^{(j)}$. In fact, as $r \to 0^+$, the amplitude of the WKB solution of type I can be seen to be

$$Y_n^{(0)} \sim r^{2n+2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\alpha^{-3}\delta S^2 r^{-2}\right), \ Y_n^{(2)} \sim r^{2n+2} \exp\left(-\frac{21}{5}\alpha^{-11}\delta^5 S^6 r^{-10}\right),$$
etc. (59)

At order j, one finds the key expression

$$Y_n^{(j)} \sim r^{2n+2} \exp\left[\frac{(-1)^{j+1}a_{2j+1}}{(4j+2)\alpha} \frac{\delta^{2j+1}S^{2j+2}}{(\alpha r)^{4j+2}}\right]; \ r \to 0^+.$$
(60)

where $a_0 = a_1 = 1$, $a_2 = 2$, $a_3 = 5$, $a_4 = 14$, $a_5 = 42$, etc. These positive constants form a progressive sequence that can be recovered from

$$a_{0} = 1, \ a_{2j+1} = 2\sum_{k=0}^{j-1} a_{k} a_{2j-k} + a_{j}^{2}, \ j \ge 0; \ a_{2j} = 2\sum_{k=0}^{j-1} a_{k} a_{2j-k-1}, \ j \ge 1.$$
(61)

Equation (60) exposes an intrinsic singularity at even orders of δ as $r \to 0^+$. For both small and large R, the same type of singularity is detected in the WKB solution of type I. This is caused by the sign alternation of the most singular term following each successive correction to the exponential expansion. Since $Y_n^{(2k+1)}(0)$ becomes unbounded for k = 0, 1, 2, ..., the use of $Y_n^{(1)}$, $Y_n^{(3)}$, etc., leads to an incomplete representation. Such a representation lacks exponentially small quantities that arise at even powers of δ . Conversely, since $Y_n^{(2k)}$ satisfies both boundary conditions, it represents an adequate approximation of the exact solution. However, given that $Y_n^{(2k)}(0) = 0$, a problem arises at higher orders because the exact solution does not completely vanish at r = 0. To see this, one must evaluate Eq. (53) viz.

$$Y_n^E(0) = \exp(\frac{1}{2}\alpha\delta^{-1}) / \Phi(n + \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}i\alpha^{-1}S, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\alpha\delta^{-1})$$
(62)

Then, using the large x identity (Abramowitz and Stegun, ¹⁹ p. 504),

$$\Phi(a,b,x) = \frac{\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a)} e^x x^{a-b} \left[1 + \mathcal{O}(x^{-1}) \right]$$
(63)

one can expand the Kummer function in Eq. (62) and write

$$Y_{n}^{E}(0) = \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} (2 / \alpha)^{1+n-\frac{1}{2}i\alpha^{-1}S} \Gamma(n+\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{2}i\alpha^{-1}S) \delta^{1+n-\frac{1}{2}i\alpha^{-1}S} \left[1+\mathcal{O}(\delta)\right]$$
(64)

Thus, the wave amplitude at the core is seen to be

$$Y_n^E(0) = \mathcal{O}(\delta^{1+n}) \tag{65}$$

The same can be extrapolated from the WKB solution of type II. In fact, Eq. (57) gives

đ

$$K_{n}^{(0)}(0) = \delta^{1+n-\frac{1}{2}i\alpha^{-1}S} \left(\delta + \frac{1}{4}i\alpha^{2}S^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left[\frac{2(-1)^{\frac{3}{4}}\alpha^{-1}S^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1+\sqrt{1-4iS\delta\alpha^{-2}}}\right]^{2n+\frac{3}{2}-i\alpha^{-1}S} \exp\left[\frac{1}{4}\delta^{-1}\alpha\left(1-\sqrt{1-4iS\delta\alpha^{-2}}\right)\right] = \mathcal{O}(\delta^{1+n}) \quad (66)$$

Since the discrepancy between $Y_n^E(0)$ and $Y_n^{(0)}(0)$ is of order $\delta^{1+n} \leq \delta$, $\forall n \geq 0$, it is generally smaller than the truncation error in the leading-order approximation. It can be safely absorbed by the truncation error. We conclude that $Y_n^{(0)}$ is uniformly valid, $\forall n \geq 0$. This behavior is illustrated in Fig. 3 where both $Y_0^{(0)}$ and $K_0^{(0)}$ are shown to provide suitable approximations uniformly across the domain. Figure 3 also illustrates the importance of the (viscous) parameter $\xi = \delta S^2$. By fixing ξ , a constant depth of penetration is realized irrespective of the operating parameters. This convincing observation is consistent with the findings of Majdalani.¹⁰ Since the remaining oscillations in the inner region are of $o(\delta)$, their contribution is negligible at leading order. Nonetheless, the discrepancy at the core can become larger than the truncation error in higher-order representations. For example, at $\mathcal{O}(\delta^3)$, $Y_n^{(2)}$ can become nonuniformly valid for n = 0, 1. In general, the discrepancy at the core cannot be ignored in the assessment of $Y_n^{(2k)}$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots, 2k - 1; k \geq 1$. Under these auspices, one must insist on an inner correction for $Y_n^{(2k)}$. The removal of the small endpoint discrepancy can be especially useful in applications that demand high precision in the core region. In the oscillatory channel flow problem, the physically meaningful



Figure 3. WKB approximations showing consistent agreement by overlapping the exact solution for $F = \frac{1}{2}\pi(1-y)$, $\xi = 10$, and a Strouhal number of a) S = 200 and b) S = 100. By fixing $\xi \equiv \delta S^2$, the penetration depth remains constant irrespective of the frequency of oscillations.

mechanisms occur near the wall where vorticity is generated. Near the core, the rotational coupling with the solid boundary is inconsequential. Although a small exponential correction can be found in the core region, its inclusion is unwarranted at leading order. Beyond the leading order, the type I expansion cannot be continued without displaying a core singularity. When this occurs, an inner correction becomes a necessity.

E. Near-core Solution

The inner equation that dominates near the core can be realized following the linear transformation $\chi = r \sqrt{\alpha / \delta}$. One finds

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}Y_{n}^{i}}{\mathrm{d}\chi^{2}} + \chi \frac{\mathrm{d}Y_{n}^{i}}{\mathrm{d}\chi} + \left[\mathrm{i}\alpha^{-1}S - (2n+2)\right]Y_{n}^{i} = 0$$
(67)

 $d\chi$ $d\chi$ where Y_n^i constitutes an inner representation of the solution for $r \in [0, \sqrt{2\delta / \alpha}]$. Equation (67) can be readily solved using the boundary condition at the core, $dY_n^i(0) / d\chi = 0$; one obtains

$$Y_n^i(\chi) = C_0 e^{-\frac{1}{2}\chi^2} \Phi(\frac{3}{2} + n - \frac{1}{2}i\alpha^{-1}S, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\chi^2)$$
(68)

According to Prandtl's Matching Principle, the remaining constant may be evaluated by reconciliation with the inner limit of $Y_n^{(j)}$. First, however, the outer expansion of Y_n^i must be made available. This can be realized by writing, for large χ ,

$$(Y_n^i)^o = C_0 \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2} + n - \frac{1}{2}i\alpha^{-1}S)} \left(r / \sqrt{2\delta / \alpha}\right)^{2+2n - iS/\alpha}$$
(69)

At this point, Eq. (69) can be matched with the inner limit of the outer solution, $Y_n^o = r^{2n+2-iS/\alpha}$. The result is

$$C_{0} = \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{3}{2} + n - \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i} \alpha^{-1} S) \left(2\delta / \alpha \right)^{1 + n - \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i} S / \alpha}$$
(70)

Substituting back into Eq. (68) and reverting to the unstretched variable, one finds

$$Y_{n}^{i}(r) = \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{3}{2} + n - \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i} \alpha^{-1} S) (2\delta\alpha^{-1})^{1+n-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{i} S/\alpha} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\alpha\delta^{-1}r^{2}) \Phi(\frac{3}{2} + n - \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i} \alpha^{-1} S, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\alpha\delta^{-1}r^{2})$$
(71)

A composite solution can thus be formed by adding to $Y_n^{(j)}$ the inner solution minus the common limit. This combination yields

$$Y_{n}^{c} = Y_{n}^{i} + Y_{n}^{(j)} - (Y_{n}^{i})^{o} \equiv Y_{n}^{(j)} + \bar{Y}_{n}^{(j)} = Y_{n}^{(j)} + \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{3}{2} + n - \frac{1}{2} i\alpha^{-1}S) (2\delta\alpha^{-1})^{1+n-\frac{1}{2}iS/\alpha} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\alpha\delta^{-1}r^{2}) \Phi(\frac{3}{2} + n - \frac{1}{2} i\alpha^{-1}S, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\alpha\delta^{-1}r^{2}) - r^{2n+2-iS/\alpha}$$
(72)

where the net inner correction $\overline{Y}_n^{(j)}$ is seen to be very small, being of $\mathcal{O}(\delta^{1+n})$. It may be shown that Eq. (72) mimics the exact solution in the neighborhood of r = 0. However, $\overline{Y}_n^{(j)}$ is more elaborate due to the presence of special functions; it also reduces the accuracy of the solution in the outer domain, especially near the wall. Because C_0 is found by matching, it leads to an approximation that becomes increasingly more accurate as δ is decreased.

For this reason, Y_n^c becomes less precise than $Y_n^{(j)}$ in the outer region. Nonetheless, as $\delta \to 0$, Y_n^c will stand to outperform $Y_n^{(j)}$ in its ability to match both boundary conditions while yielding a value of $\mathcal{O}(\delta^{1+n})$ at the core. In practice, since $\overline{Y}_n^{(j)} = \mathcal{O}(\delta^{1+n})$, one can express the basic solution as $Y_n^W = Y_n^{(0)} + \mathcal{O}(\delta)$, $\overline{Y}_n^{(j)}$ notwithstanding.

F. Endpoint Corrections for Small and Large R

The example we have cited serves a dual purpose. First, it illustrates the relationship between exact and asymptotic forms of the solution for a test function $F = \alpha r$. More importantly, perhaps, it provides the general form of the inner solution for Berman's small and large mean-flow functions. This is due to the suitability of $F = \alpha r$, $\alpha = (\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\pi)$ in representing the inner expansions for $F = [\frac{1}{2}r(3-r^2), \sin(\frac{1}{2}\pi r)]$. For example, in order to find $\overline{Y}_n^{(j)}$ for the large injection case, one must first realize that the outer solution is given by

$$Y_{n}^{o} = \lim_{\delta \to 0} Y_{n}^{(j)} = \left(\cos\theta\right)^{2n+2} \exp\left[\frac{2}{\pi} iS \ln \tan\left(\frac{1}{4}\pi + \frac{1}{2}\theta\right)\right] = \left[\sin\left(\frac{1}{2}\pi r\right)\right]^{2n+2} \exp\left[-\frac{2}{\pi} iS \ln \tan\left(\frac{1}{4}\pi r\right)\right]$$
(73)

with the inner limit

$$(Y_n^o)^i = \lim_{r \to 0} \left[\sin\left(\frac{1}{2}\pi r\right) \right]^{2n+2} \exp\left[-\frac{2}{\pi} iS \ln \tan\left(\frac{1}{4}\pi r\right) \right] = 2^{\frac{2}{\pi}iS} \left(\frac{1}{2}\pi r\right)^{2n+2-\frac{2}{\pi}iS}$$
(74)

Insofar as $\sin(\frac{1}{2}\pi r) \sim \frac{1}{2}\pi r$ in the near-core region, the general form of the inner solution becomes identical to Eq. (68) with $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}\pi$. The outer limit of Y_n^i also coincides with Eq. (69). The only difference here is that C_0 must be found by matching Eq. (74) with Eq. (69). Thus, by setting $(Y_n^i)^o = (Y_n^o)^i$, one finds

$$C_{0} = 2^{\frac{2}{\pi}iS} \pi^{\frac{1}{2}+n-\frac{1}{\pi}iS} \Gamma(\frac{3}{2}+n-\frac{1}{\pi}iS) \delta^{1+n-\frac{1}{\pi}iS}$$
(75)

The composite solution can be constructed from Eqs. (72) and (23). One finally obtains

$$Y_{n}^{c} = Y_{n}^{i} - (Y_{n}^{i})^{o} + Y_{n}^{(j)} = 2^{\frac{2}{\pi}iS} \pi^{\frac{1}{2}+n-\frac{1}{\pi}iS} \Gamma(\frac{3}{2}+n-\frac{1}{\pi}iS) \delta^{1+n-\frac{1}{\pi}iS} \exp(-\frac{1}{4}\pi\delta^{-1}r^{2}) \Phi(\frac{3}{2}+n-\frac{1}{\pi}iS,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{4}\pi\delta^{-1}r^{2}) \\ -2^{\frac{2}{\pi}iS} \left(\frac{1}{2}\pi r\right)^{2n+2-\frac{2}{\pi}iS} + \left(\cos\theta\right)^{2n+2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\pi}\delta S^{2}\left[\ln\tan\left(\frac{1}{4}\pi+\frac{1}{2}\theta\right) + \sec\theta\tan\theta\right] + \frac{2}{\pi}iS\ln\tan\left(\frac{1}{4}\pi+\frac{1}{2}\theta\right) \\ -i\delta S\left\{\frac{1}{8\pi}\delta S\left[12\ln\tan\left(\frac{1}{4}\pi+\frac{1}{2}\theta\right) + \sec^{4}\theta\left(11\sin\theta+3\sin3\theta\right)\right] + \left(2n+\frac{3}{2}\right)(\sec^{2}\theta-1)\right\}\right\}, \ j = 0$$
(76)

The small correction gained by retaining the inner solution is illustrated in Table 3 using two test functions. The composite solution has the advantage of better approximating the exact solution near the core. Unlike $Y_0^{(2)}$, Y_0^c approaches Y_0^E and Y_0^N at both ends of the interval. However, inasmuch as the matching and subsequent determination of C_0 is based on $R \to \infty$, Y_0^c remains less accurate than $Y_0^{(2)}$ in the outer domain when R is finite. This is evident at y = 0 where $Y_0^c \to 1$ asymptotically in δ . Thus, as $\delta \to 0$, the precision of the composite solution is improved.

Table 3. Type I WKB approximation with and without the inner correction Y_0^i . Here S = 50, $R = 10^7$, and n = 0. Note that the composite solution Y_0^c matches Y_0^E and Y_0^N at both extremities

	$F = \frac{1}{2}\pi(1-y)$			$F = \cos(\frac{1}{2}\pi y)$					
y	Y_0^E	$Y_0^{(2)}$	Y^c_0	Y_0^N	$Y_{0}^{(2)}$	Y_0^i	$(Y_0^i)^o$	Y^c_0	
0.00	1	1	0.9999678	1	1	0.4046515	0.4046571	0.9999944	
0.05	-0.0558466	-0.0558466	-0.0558415	-0.7977303	-0.7977303	-2.2149878	-2.2150676	-0.7976505	
0.10	-0.7918366	-0.7918366	-0.7918057	0.2960063	0.2960063	0.0946690	0.0946803	0.2959950	
0.20	0.4367574	0.4367574	0.4367376	-0.6655363	-0.6655363	-0.9618275	-0.9618818	-0.6654820	
0.30	0.1715711	0.1715711	0.1715570	-0.7881319	-0.7881319	1.1865032	1.1865797	-0.7882084	
0.40	-0.3064925	-0.3064925	-0.3064666	-0.5652062	-0.5652062	0.3355138	0.3355507	-0.5652431	
0.50	-0.2493209	-0.2493209	-0.2492890	-0.4879304	-0.4879304	-0.0568756	-0.0568754	-0.4879305	
0.60	-0.1004289	-0.1004289	-0.1004110	-0.1167005	-0.1167005	0.2624337	0.2624921	-0.1167589	
0.70	0.0729891	0.0729891	0.0729648	0.0281442	0.0281442	-0.0985015	-0.0985435	0.0281861	
0.80	0.0227773	0.0227773	0.0227615	-0.0487533	-0.0487533	-0.0707224	-0.0707845	-0.0486912	
0.90	-0.0050751	-0.0050751	-0.0050613	0.0176026	0.0176026	0.0188282	0.0188938	0.0175370	
0.95	0.0011016	0.0011016	0.0010901	-0.0048594	-0.0048594	-0.0049298	-0.0049981	-0.0047911	
1.00	-3.98×10^{-17}	0	-3.98×10 ⁻¹⁷	-1.993×10 ⁻¹⁷	0	-1.994×10 ⁻¹⁷	0	-1.994×10 ⁻¹⁷	

V. Multiple Scales

A. Strategy

Having presented two WKB approximations and one matched-asymptotic expansion for the problem at hand, attention is now turned to the method of multiple scales. In previous work by Majdalani,¹⁰ the modified variable was left unspecified while carrying out the two-scale expansion. At the conclusion of the asymptotic analysis, physical arguments were called upon in evaluating the conceptual coordinate. These physical arguments were based on comparisons with an available WKB solution. The strategy here is different in that it precludes provisional comparisons with supplementary expansions. At present, the undetermined scaling transformation will be connected to the problem's solvability condition. The latter will be based on the Principle of Least Singular Behavior.¹³

B. Generalized Two-scale Expansion

To begin, we let our two fictitious coordinates be $y_0 = y$, and $y_1 = \delta s(y)$. The difference here is that s(y) will remain unspecified until the solvability condition is applied. For thwith, functions and derivatives are expanded into

$$\begin{cases} Y_n(y_0, y_1) = Y^{(0)}(y_0, y_1) + \delta Y^{(1)}(y_0, y_1) + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2) \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_0} + \delta \frac{\mathrm{d}s}{\mathrm{d}y_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}; \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}y^2} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_0^2} + \mathcal{O}(\delta) \end{cases}$$
(77)

When inserted into Eq. (7), these expressions give rise to a sequence of PDEs at different orders in δ . For the leading and first orders, one identifies

$$\frac{\partial Y^{(0)}}{\partial y_0} - \left[2(n+1)\frac{F'}{F} + i\frac{S}{F}\right]Y^{(0)} = 0, \quad Y^{(0)}(0) = 1, \quad Y'^{(0)}(1) = 0$$
(78)

$$\frac{\partial Y^{(1)}}{\partial y_0} - \left[2\left(n+1\right)\frac{F'}{F} + i\frac{S}{F}\right]Y^{(1)} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}s}{\mathrm{d}y_0}\frac{\partial Y^{(0)}}{\partial y_1} + \frac{1}{F}\frac{\partial^2 Y^{(0)}}{\partial y_0^2}$$
(79)

Then, integration of Eq. (78) gives

$$Y^{(0)}(y_0, y_1) = K_1(y_1) \exp\left\{2\left(n+1\right)\ln\left[F(y_0) / F_0\right] + iS \int_0^{y_0} F^{-1}(z) dz\right\}$$
(80)

where K_1 awaits evaluation from the first-order equation. This multiplier can be determined in a manner to promote the least singular behavior in Y_n . To that end, we find it unnecessary to determine $Y^{(1)}$ fully. Rather, it is sufficient to introduce a solvability condition for which an asymptotic series expansion of the form $Y^{(0)} + \delta Y^{(1)} + o(\delta)$ may be realized. This is accomplished by first introducing

$$\Lambda = \frac{Y^{(1)}(y_0, y_1)}{Y^{(0)}(y_0, y_1)}$$
(81)

In order to determine Λ , one can multiply Eq. (78) by $Y^{(1)}[Y^{(0)}]^{-2}$ and subtract the result from the product of Eq. (79) and $[Y^{(0)}]^{-1}$. This operation yields

$$\frac{1}{Y^{(0)}}\frac{\partial Y^{(1)}}{\partial y_0} - \frac{Y^{(1)}}{[Y^{(0)}]^2}\frac{\partial Y^{(0)}}{\partial y_0} = -\frac{s'}{Y^{(0)}}\frac{\partial Y^{(0)}}{\partial y_1} + \frac{1}{FY^{(0)}}\frac{\partial^2 Y^{(0)}}{\partial y_0^2}$$
(82)

Noting that the left-hand-side is the partial derivative of Λ with respect to y_0 , Eq. (82) can be integrated into

$$\Lambda = \frac{Y^{(1)}}{Y^{(0)}} = \int^{y_0} \left[-\frac{s'}{Y^{(0)}} \frac{\partial Y^{(0)}}{\partial y_1} + \frac{1}{FY^{(0)}} \frac{\partial^2 Y^{(0)}}{\partial y_0^2} \right] dz$$
(83)

At this point, Eq. (80) can be differentiated and substituted into Eq. (83); one finds

$$\Lambda = -\frac{s(y_0)}{K_1} \frac{\mathrm{d}K_1}{\mathrm{d}y_1} + \int^{y_0} \left\{ -S^2 F^{-3} + 2(n+1) \left[F'' F^{-2} + (2n+1) F'^2 F^{-3} \right] + \mathrm{i}S \left(4n+3 \right) F' F^{-3} \right\} \mathrm{d}z$$
$$= -\frac{s(y_0)}{K_1} \frac{\mathrm{d}K_1}{\mathrm{d}y_1} - S^2 \int^{y_0} \left[F^{-3} - \mathrm{i}S^{-1} \left(4n+3 \right) F' F^{-3} + \mathcal{O}(S^{-2}) \right] \mathrm{d}z \tag{84}$$

At this stage, an additional constraint is needed to achieve closure.

C. Solvability Condition

In order to promote a uniformly valid series, the ratio of $Y^{(1)}$ and $Y^{(0)}$ must be bounded $\forall y_1$. This can be accomplished by posing $\Lambda = \mathcal{O}(1)$. Equation (84) becomes

$$\Lambda = -\frac{s(y_0)}{K_1} \frac{\mathrm{d}K_1}{\mathrm{d}y_1} - S^2 \int^{y_0} \left[F^{-3} + \mathrm{i}S^{-1}(2n + \frac{3}{2})(F^{-2})' + \mathcal{O}(S^{-2}) \right] \mathrm{d}z = \mathcal{O}(1)$$
(85)

For arbitrary F, Λ will be bounded if and only if

$$\frac{1}{K_1(y_1)}\frac{\mathrm{d}K_1(y_1)}{\mathrm{d}y_1} = \kappa(y_1) = \mathcal{O}(1) \quad \text{or} \quad K_1(y_1) = K_0 \exp\left(\int \kappa \,\mathrm{d}y_1\right) = K_0 \exp\left(\delta \int \kappa \,\mathrm{d}s\right) \tag{86}$$

On the one hand, K_0 emerges as a constant that can be determined from $Y^{(0)}(0) = 1$. On the other hand, κ appears as an auxiliary function that is self-cancelling. Moreover, when Eq. (86) is substituted back into Eq. (85), $s(y_0)$ may be deduced. The result is

$$s(y_0) = -\kappa^{-1} S^2 \left\{ \int^{y_0} \left[F^{-3} + i S^{-1} (2n + \frac{3}{2}) (F^{-2})' \right] dz + S^{-2} \Lambda \right\}$$
(87)

Subsequently, since $\Lambda = \mathcal{O}(1)$, one can put

$$\partial s / \partial y_0 = -\kappa^{-1} S^2 \left\{ \left[F^{-3} + i S^{-1} (2n + \frac{3}{2}) (F^{-2})' \right] + \mathcal{O}(S^{-2}) \right\}$$
(88)

so that, by virtue of Eq. (77),

$$ds = \left(\partial s / \partial y_0 + \delta s' \partial s / \partial y_1\right) dy = \left(-\kappa^{-1} S^2 \left\{ \left[F^{-3} + iS^{-1} (2n + \frac{3}{2})(F^{-2})'\right] + \mathcal{O}(S^{-2}) \right\} + \mathcal{O}(\delta) \right) dy$$
(89)

This can be substituted into Eq. (86) to get

$$K_{1}(y_{1}) = K_{0} \exp\left[\delta \int \left(-S^{2} \left\{ \left[F^{-3} + iS^{-1}(2n + \frac{3}{2})(F^{-2})'\right] + \mathcal{O}(S^{-2}) \right\} + \mathcal{O}(\delta) \right) dy \right]$$
(90)

From Eq. (87), it becomes clear that the problem exhibits an underlying multiple-scales structure that cannot be captured by linear transformations. As such, it justifies the analyses in which the use of nonlinear coordinates is suggested.^{10,20} In fact, the use of a nonlinear scaling transformation is first reported by Van Dyke¹³ in his account of Munson's treatment of the vortical layer over an inclined cone.²¹ Common to these problems is the coexistence of several mechanisms involving dispersive and dissipative mechanisms. Under such circumstances, it is possible for the transitional variables to be nonlinear functions of space. In problems such as those described here, the freedom of a general transformation allows us to capture the nonlinear behavior.

D. Generalized Coordinate

Recalling that the type I distinguished limit is $\delta \sim S^{-2}$, a solution may be arrived at by substituting Eq. (90) back into Eq. (80). Using the superscript G to denote a multiple-scales solution based on a generalized coordinate, the multiple-scales result reduces to

$$Y_n^G = \left(\frac{F}{F_0}\right)^{2n+2} \exp\left\{-\xi \int_0^y \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{F^3(z)} + i\left[S \int_0^y \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{F(z)} + (2n+\frac{3}{2})S\delta(F_0^{-2}-F^{-2})\right]\right\} + \mathcal{O}(\delta)$$
(91)

This expression reproduces the dominant parts in Y_n^W . Despite being of the same order as Eq. (21), it is simpler to evaluate because it does not contain the higher-order integral seen in Eq. (50). Furthermore, it displays the coordinate transformation required in a standard multiple-scales analysis. From Eq. (87), one realizes that

$$s^{G} \sim \int_{0}^{y} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{F^{3}} + \mathrm{i}\frac{(2n+\frac{3}{2})}{SF^{2}}$$
 (92)

Having determined Y_n^G , the velocity of the traveling wave may be deduced from Eq. (1). One obtains

$$u_1^G(x, y, t) = \sin\left(\omega_m x\right) \sin\left(\omega_m t\right) - \left(\frac{F}{F_0}\right) \sin\left(\omega_m x \frac{F}{F_0}\right) \exp\zeta^G \sin\left(\omega_m t + \Phi^G\right)$$
(93)

where

$$\zeta^{G} = -\xi \int_{0}^{y} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{F^{3}(z)}, \quad \Phi^{G} = -\left[S \int_{0}^{y} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{F(z)} + \frac{3}{2} S\delta\left(F_{0}^{-2} - F^{-2}\right)\right]$$
(94)

E. Former UST Analysis

In former work by the author,¹⁰ the undetermined scaling technique (UST) is developed and explored. Accordingly, an undetermined scaling transformation is applied in concert with a two-variable expansion to solve Eq. (2). Using the two virtual coordinates $y_0 = y$ and $y_1 = \varepsilon s$, the UST result consists of

$$Y_{n}^{U} = \left(F / F_{0}\right)^{2n+2} \exp\left(\eta F^{-3} \left\{-\xi + 2\delta \left(n+1\right) \left[\left(2n+1\right) F'^{2} + F''F\right]\right\} + i \left[S \int_{0}^{y} F^{-1} dz + \delta S \left(4n+3\right) \eta F^{-3} F'\right]\right)$$
(95)

Note that the original UST solution is presented at order $\varepsilon = \delta / S$. The undetermined scaling functional $\eta(y)$ is obtained by matching leading-order terms with the corresponding WKB solution of type I. This requires setting

$$\eta(y) = s(y) / s'(y) = F^{3}(y) \int_{0}^{y} F^{-3}(z) dz$$
(96)

Consequently, Eq. (1) collapses into

$$u_1^U(x, y, t) = \sin\left(\omega_m x\right) \sin\left(\omega_m t\right) - (F / F_0) \sin\left(\omega_m x F / F_0\right) \exp\zeta^U \sin\left(\omega_m t + \Phi^U\right)$$
(97)

where

For $F_0 =$

$$\zeta^{U} = -\xi\eta F^{-3} + 2\delta\eta F^{-2}(F'' + F'^{2}F^{-1}), \quad \Phi^{U} = -\left[S\int_{0}^{y}F^{-1}(z)\mathrm{d}z + 3\delta S\eta F^{-3}F'\right]$$
(98)

The advantage of the UST solution stands in its explicit formulation as a function of F and its derivatives. The outcome requires minimal integration to determine η and the leading-order term in Φ . For small and large R, these quantities may be readily retrieved from Eqs. (48) and (49).

F. Limitation of Former Solution

1, the amplitude of
$$Y_n^U$$
 is controlled by $F^{2n+2} \exp(\zeta_n^U)$ where
 $\zeta_n^U = \delta S^2 \eta F^{-3} \left\{ -1 + 2S^{-2} \left(n+1\right) \left[\left(2n+1\right) F'^2 + F''F \right] \right\}$
(99)

Then given large R, the exponential damping function becomes

$$\zeta_n^U = A_1 \sec^2 \theta \sin \theta + A_2 \ln \tan \frac{1}{2} \left(\pi + \theta \right); \ A_1 = A_2 = (\delta S^2 / \pi) [\pi^2 S^{-2} (n+1) (n \sin^2 \theta - \frac{1}{2} \cos 2\theta) - 1]$$
(100)

As $y \to 1^-$, the amplitude of Y_n^U becomes solely dictated by A_1 , the coefficient of the most singular term in the exponential. To ensure boundedness at the extremity $(\theta = \frac{1}{2}\pi)$, the condition $\pi^2 S^{-2}(n + \frac{1}{2})(n + 1) - 1 \le 0$ must be secured. For small R, it can be shown that boundedness at y = 1 is contingent upon satisfying $9S^{-2}(n + \frac{1}{2})(n + 1) - 1 \le 0$. These criteria lead to the realization that the UST solution¹⁰ remains uniformly valid so long as

$$n \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3}S\sqrt{1 + \frac{9}{16}S^{-2}} - \frac{3}{4}, \text{ small } R\\ \frac{1}{\pi}S\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{16}\pi^2S^{-2}} - \frac{3}{4}, \text{ large } R \end{cases}$$
(101)

It is clear from Eq. (101) that singularity is delayed to $n \to \infty$ as $S \to \infty$ or $\delta \to 0$. This confirms that the former solution is not only legitimate, but also exhibits a non-singular asymptotic behavior. In practice, however, the smallest value of n for which the solution becomes unbounded corresponds to the lowest value of the Strouhal number. Thus, for S = 10, the solution becomes suddenly unbounded at the core starting with $n \ge 3$. By holding S > 10 as a requirement for non-trivial oscillations, no endpoint singularity can arise in the n = 0 correction used in constructing the total velocity u_1^U . The latter remains valid over the stated range of concern. Conversely, for a fixed n, the approximation will remain uniformly valid provided that

$$S \ge \begin{cases} 3\sqrt{(n+\frac{1}{2})(n+1)}, & \text{small } R\\ \pi\sqrt{(n+\frac{1}{2})(n+1)}, & \text{large } R \end{cases}$$
(102)

Since the construction of u_1^U necessitates the use of the n = 0 correction, the former solution will stay non-singular as long as $S \ge (2.1213, 2.2214)$ for small and large R, respectively. Another remedy lies in trading accuracy for broader validity. By expressing Y_n^U at the order of δ in lieu of ε , the result becomes unconditionally valid, $\forall n, S$. Furthermore, u_1^U becomes unrestricted. This extension is realized by writing $\zeta^U = \zeta_n^U = -\delta S^2 \eta F^{-3} + \mathcal{O}(\delta)$. The modified solution becomes

$$Y_{n}^{U} = F^{2n+2} \exp\left\{-\xi \eta F^{-3} + i \left[S \int_{0}^{y} F^{-1} dz + \delta S \left(4n+3\right) \eta F^{-3} F'\right]\right\} + \mathcal{O}(\delta)$$
(103)

G. Former CST solution

In another study concerned with small δ and large S, a hybrid, composite-scaling technique (CST) is introduced and discussed.²⁰ This approach follows the conventional asymptotic paradigm of identifying the scales before seeking to expand. As given by Eq. (5.22) of Majdalani,²⁰ the amplitude of the CST solution is prescribed by

$$\zeta^{C} = \xi \eta^{C} \sec^{3} \theta \left[-1 + \pi^{2} S^{-2} \left(n + 1 \right) \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \sin^{2} \theta \right] - \frac{1}{2} \pi^{2} \mu \left(1 + n \right) \eta^{C} \sec \theta$$
(104)

Here too, since $\eta^{C}(1) = 0$, the coefficient of the dominant term $\eta^{C} \sec^{3} \theta$ becomes positive for sufficiently small S. To prevent singularity, the upper cap that must be imposed on n is identical to that given by Eq. (101). Here too, a modified CST solution that is unconditionally bounded can be arrived at, $\forall n, S$, by expressing the previous solution at $\mathcal{O}(\delta)$, which is the reciprocal of the crossflow Reynolds number. The broader applicability of resulting representations will be illustrated next.

VI. Discussion

A. Error Verification

In order to verify the truncation order associated with each approximation, the maximum error evolving from Y_n^G , Y_n^W , Y_n^K , Y_n^U , and Y_n^C can be determined from

$$E_n^A(n, S, \delta) = \max_{0 \le y \le 1} \left| Y_n^N(y, n, S, \delta) - Y_n^A(y, n, S, \delta) \right|$$
(105)

where Y_n^N is the numerical solution of Eq. (2) and Y_n^A is a given asymptotic solution for $A = \{G, W, K, U, C\}$. Following the formal test proposed by Bosley,²² one may generate a log-log plot of E_n^A versus δ at fixed S and n. Assuming that $E_n^A(n, S, \delta) \sim \delta^{\kappa}$, the order of the error κ is deducible from the graph. For the first two eigenvalues, this procedure is illustrated in Figs. 4a-e where the error in each approximation is displayed versus δ / S at constant S. This is done in the interest of clarity because error curves become too closely spaced when plotted versus δ . In all five cases, the error is seen to exhibit a clear asymptotic character. Specifically, one notes that $\kappa \to 1$ as $\delta \to 0$, in compliance with a leading-order expansion. Overall, Y_n^K displays the smallest absolute error $\forall S, \delta$ and n = 0, 1. This can be attributed, in part, to the ability of Y_n^K to outperform other asymptotic solutions at both ends of the domain. On the other hand, both Y_n^G and Y_n^K exhibit regions of accelerated convergence in which the error increases to order 2 in some range of the operating parameters. At higher eigenvalues, the error gradually diminishes for $S \ge 10$ except in Y_n^K . In fact, E_n^K in Fig. 4a constitutes the only case for which the error increases with n, $\forall S$. Nonetheless, the corresponding solution remains considerably accurate, as showcased in Fig. 4f. Therein, all solutions are compared to one another, for n = 1, in descending order of precision. It is interesting to note that all errors diminish as the Strouhal number is reduced. Furthermore, all errors converge to the same value for S < 10. For this reason, the five error curves in Fig. 4f overlap at S = 0.1.

B. Characteristic Length Scales

In previous work, a hybrid multiple-scale procedure was followed in the treatment of the large injection case.²⁰ The corresponding composite-scaling technique (CST) was consistent with the traditional multiple-scale practice of identifying the transitional variables before expanding the derivatives. Subsequently, the space-reductive character was achieved by constructing a composite scale ' εs ' that could reproduce the problem's dissimilar scales in their respective regions. In the process, reducing the number of scales to two was determined to be essential lest an intractable problem is obtained. The ensuing formulation was found to depend on a scaling functional, η^C , wherein

$$\eta^{C} = \frac{s(y)}{s'(y)} = -\frac{s(r)}{\mathrm{d}s / \mathrm{d}r} = y \left[1 + ay^{b} \left(yr^{-1} - b \ln r \right) \right]^{-1}; \quad (a,b) = \left\{ \left(\frac{3}{2}, 2 \right) \text{ for small } R, \quad \left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2} \right) \text{ for large } R \right\}$$
(106)

In hindsight, this characteristic length scale reminds us of the characteristic time constant $\tau = -s / \dot{s}$ that is frequently used to measure the amount of dissipation in first-order dynamic systems. These are typically described by $\dot{s} + \tau^{-1}s = 0$, thereby evolving according to $s(t) \sim \exp(-t / \tau)$.

In a subsequent generalization of the oscillatory porous channel flow,¹⁰ the UST approximation was used. Its analysis followed the CST scheme by reducing the scales before expanding. In the UST application, however, the space-reductive variable was left unspecified. At the conclusion of the effort, the undetermined variable was deduced by matching the leading UST term with its WKB counterpart. This rationalization was based on the physical argument that exponential damping of the oscillatory wave ought to occur at the same rate regardless of the technique used. In essence, it conformed to Prandtl's Principle of Matching by Supplementary Expansions.¹³ As a



Figure 4. In descending level of precision, we present the errors evolving from the unconditionally valid expansions of a) Y^{K} , b) Y^{W} , c) Y^{G} , d) Y^{U} , and e) Y^{C} for $F = \cos(\frac{1}{2}\pi y)$ and n = 0,1. Errors are compared in f) for n = 1 and two values of the Stroubal number spanning three orders of magnitude in S.

windfall, a general form for the length scale η^{U} could be arrived at. This relationship produced η^{U} explicitly for an arbitrary mean-flow function F. For example, one could write

$$\eta^{U} = F^{3} \int_{0}^{y} F^{-3} dz = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{108} r(r^{2} - 3) \left[18 - 27r^{2} + 6r^{4} + 2r^{2}(r^{2} - 3)^{2} \ln(3r^{-2} - 1) \right], & \text{small } R\\ \frac{1}{\pi} \cos^{3} \left(\frac{1}{2} \pi y \right) \left[\ln \tan \frac{1}{4} \pi \left(1 + y \right) + \sec \left(\frac{1}{2} \pi y \right) \tan \left(\frac{1}{2} \pi y \right) \right], & \text{large } R \end{cases}$$
(107)

This result could be directly used to explain and guide the selection of inner variables in similar studies of oscillatory flows in porous channels. Despite their entirely different expressions, Eqs. (107) and (106) were shown to possess a similar spatial content.¹⁰ This confirmed the validity of the effort undertaken by Majdalani²⁰ where the CST approximation was obtained without help from the WKB expansion. By comparison, the UST approach led to a more accurate two-variable solution. Its main shortcoming, however, could be attributed to its fundamental need for matching with an available solution.

The shortcoming of the UST and CST paradigms are eliminated in the GST approximation. At present, neither guesswork nor rationalization is required. In fact, specification of the scale in Eq. (87) is independently realized by imposing the Principle of Minimum Singularity. From Eq. (87), the need for a nonlinear variable transformation is formally established. Based on Eq. (92), the problem's scaling functional can be determined from

$$\eta^{G} = \frac{F^{3} \int_{0}^{y} F^{-3} dz + iS^{-1} (2n + \frac{3}{2})F}{1 - iS^{-1} (4n + 3)F'}$$
(108)

It may be instructive to note that η^U can be restored from η^G since $\eta^G \to \eta^U$ as $S \to \infty$ at constant n. Thus η^U represents the leading-order, parameter-free component of η^G . This explains the ability of the UST expansion to yield a rational approximation.

All three formulations, η^U , η^C , and η^G are shown in Figs. 5a-b for small and large R. Note that, for $S \ge 20$, insignificant variations in η^G can be observed. In addition, η^U and η^G stand in good agreement, especially when S is increased. This may be surmised by observing the convergence of the chained lines (representing η^G) on top of η^U for S > 20.

C. Simple Test Case

s

The usefulness of Eq. (92) may be illustrated by considering $F = \alpha(1-y)$; $\alpha > 0$. Accordingly,

$$\delta \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 Y_n}{\mathrm{d}y^2} - \alpha (1-y) \frac{\mathrm{d}Y_n}{\mathrm{d}y} + \left[\mathrm{i}S - 2\left(n+1\right)\alpha\right] Y_n = 0 \tag{109}$$

Apart from the benefit of obtaining a multiple-scales solution directly from Eq. (91) or (93), the use of Eq. (92) enables us to identify the type of transformation needed in a standard two-variable expansion. As shown by Majdalani,²³ the corresponding Eq. (109) cannot be solved asymptotically using linear transformations. One may verify the futility of using $y_0 = y$ and any linear distortion of the form $y_1 = (1 - y)\sqrt{\alpha} / (2\delta)$, $y\sqrt{\alpha} / (2\delta)$, $\delta^{-\lambda}(1 - y)$, $\delta^{-\lambda}y$, $\forall \lambda$. Instead, one must resort to Eq. (92) and determine that the second coordinate transformation must follow

$$G^{G} \sim \int_{0}^{y} \alpha^{-3} (1-z)^{-3} dz + \mathcal{O}(S^{-1}) = \frac{1}{2} \alpha^{-3} \left[(1-y)^{-2} - 1 \right] + \mathcal{O}(S^{-1})$$
 (110)

Considering that constants do not affect the scaling order, the nonlinear coordinate $s^G = \frac{1}{2}\alpha^{-3}(1-y)^{-2}$ is realized. Subsequently, it can be shown that a conventional application of multiple-scales analysis with $y_0 = y$ and $y_1 = \delta s^G = \frac{1}{2}\alpha^{-3}\delta(1-y)^{-2}$ yields a uniformly valid solution that is identical to the GST result. The use of Eq. (92) or (108) can be especially helpful in treating more elaborate forms of F. Such are those leading to the expressions in Eq. (107) that are virtually impossible to guess.

Before leaving this subject, it may be instructive to note that an extended form of the GST approach may be employed in which the strained coordinate, y_1 , is granted more freedom through the WKB-like expansion

$$y_1 = \beta^{-1}s_0 + s_1 + \beta s_2 + \beta^2 s_3 + \beta^3 s_4 + \ldots = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta^{k-1} s_k$$
(111)



Figure 5. Characteristic length scales $\eta = s / s'$ obtained using GST, UST, and CST analyses. For a range of Strouhal numbers, current GST predictions are compared to former approximations corresponding to a) small and b) large R.



Figure 6. Errors in the axially traveling wave velocities u_1^G , u_1^W and u_1^U presented here for either a) small or b) large R. Also for large R, we compare in c) the high precision approximation u_1^K to the unconditionally valid u_1^C and u_1^V . The latter and least accurate among the group corresponds to Flandro's vorticity transport solution (correctly reconstructed).¹⁰

The resulting work will reproduce, after considerable effort, the WKB approximations obtained in Sec. III. The detail of such analysis will be the topic of a future investigation with J. W. Batterson.

D. Behavior and Confirmation

We have seen in Fig. 4, Y_n^K , Y_n^W and Y_n^G lead to more precise representations than those given by Y_n^U and Y_n^C at $\mathcal{O}(\delta)$. Along similar lines, one expects the corresponding velocities u_1^K , u_1^W and u_1^G to be more accurate than u_1^U , u_1^C , and Flandro's vorticity transport solution u_1^V . This can be indeed demonstrated by examining the maximum error E_m between u_1^A given asymptotically and u_1^N obtained numerically. Using

$$E_{\rm m}^{A} = \max_{\substack{0 \le x \le l \\ 0 \le y \le 1}} \left| u_{\rm 1}^{N} - u_{\rm 1}^{A} \right|, \ E_{\rm m}^{A} \sim \delta^{\kappa}$$
(112)

the order of the error may be calculated numerically and ascertained graphically from Fig. 6. In this vein, the order of the error may be calculated numerically and ascertained graphically from Fig. 6. In this vein, comparisons between E_m^G , E_m^W and E_m^U are performed and shown in Figs. 6a-b for small and large injection levels. Since a non-numeric WKB solution of type II is only available for large injection, E_m^K is displayed in Fig. 6b along with E_m^C and E_m^V . The last two correspond to the CST and vorticity transport solutions. Everywhere, we find that $\kappa \to 1$ consistently as $\delta \to 0$ at fixed S. According to Bosley,²² the resulting asymptotic behavior confirms that the solutions are legitimate, error-free approximations. Overall, we find that the smallest error evolves from E_m^K and is followed by E_m^W and E_m^G . Since E_m^A diminishes as S is reduced, these approximations remain unconditionally valid as the Strouhal number is lowered at constant δ .

E. Axially Traveling Wave Motion

The behavior of u_1^K (or u_1^N) is illustrated in Fig. 7 at constant $R = 4 \times 10^4$, $F = \cos(\frac{1}{2}\pi y)$, and a typical Strouhal number of S = 25m. The relatively large value of the Strouhal number may be attributed to our reference velocity being based on the wall injection speed v_w , which is a small quantity when compared to the mainstream velocity used in classical acoustics. The precision at this Reynolds number causes the numerical and asymptotic solutions to become indiscernible for the given graphical resolution. As the oscillation mode number is increased, the modulus of u_1^K is seen to follow the spatial mode shape of the irrotational plane wave represented by the first member of Eq. (1). For the fundamental oscillation mode (S = 25), the modulus reaches its maximum halfway along the channel where the sinusoidal plane wave amplitude is largest. The penetration depth of the wave continues to increase in the downstream direction due to the convection of unsteady vorticity that accompanies the



Figure 7. Modulus of the axially traveling wave velocity $u_1(x, y, t)$ for the first three oscillation modes. Results are shown at equal intervals along the porous chamber. Here $F = \cos(\frac{1}{2}\pi y)$, $R = 4 \times 10^4$ and S = 25m; m = 1, 2, 3.



Figure 8. Iso-contours of time-dependent vorticity for the first three harmonic oscillation modes and one instant of time. Full and broken lines denote alternating signs of vorticity that will trade back and forth with the passage of time. Here $F = \cos(\frac{1}{2}\pi y)$, $R = 4 \times 10^4$ and S = 25m; m = 2,3,4.

mean flow for $F = \cos(\frac{1}{2}\pi y)$. For the first harmonic (S = 50), a sinusoidal velocity node appears at $x = \frac{1}{2}l$; this local deficit in the parallel flow component eliminates the local coupling between acoustic and rotational waves. Nonetheless, the rotational wave amplitude remains nonzero above the wall due to the downstream convection of unsteady vorticity.

F. Unsteady Vorticity

The spatial evolution of the unsteady vorticity is captured in Fig. 8 for the first three harmonic oscillation modes, m = 2, 3, and 4. This is effectuated by displaying equally distributed isovorticity contours, starting with the

maximum absolute values that originate at the channel wall. Using ± 100 percent to denote the vorticity extrema that occur at the acoustic velocity antinodes, where x/l = (2n-1)/2m; $0 < n \le m$; $n \in \mathbb{N}$, contours of constant vorticity are shown in decrements of 20 percent. Their thumbprints illustrate the downstream convection and depreciation of unsteady vorticity due to the combined effects of mean-flow motion, acoustic driving at the wall, and viscous damping. They are shown in Fig. 8 for typical values of the control parameters. Given the alternating directions of particle rotation at different oscillation modes, some interesting features may be observed including the emergence of (m-1) lines of zero unsteady vorticity. These 'irrotational' streaklines originate at the acoustic velocity nodes where surface coupling is absent. Thus, starting at x/l = n/m; n < m, these demarcation lines partition the channel into m regions of counter-rotating vorticity. In the interest of clarity, we switch from full lines to broken lines as unsteady vorticity switches direction.

The effect of decreasing the wall injection velocity is examined in Fig. 9 where the wave modulus is displayed for the first oscillation mode shape. By fixing the wave frequency and fluid viscosity, reducing the injection velocity by one order of magnitude is seen to shorten the spatial wavelength in the wall-normal direction. The penetration depth is also reduced. These trends are typical of those reported in the literature.

In Fig. 10, the axially traveling wave u_1 is compared to the numerical solution for the first fundamental oscillation mode, $F = \cos(\frac{1}{2}\pi y)$, and $x = \frac{1}{2}l$ (midway along the channel). Interestingly, by holding $\xi = 5$, the Strouhal number may be varied over a wide range of frequencies without affecting the thickness of the rotational region. It is clear that the agreement between numerics and asymptotics improves with successive increases in the Strouhal number despite the highly oscillatory nature of the solution and the marked reduction in spatial wavelength. The observed agreement reflects the precision entailed in the perturbative approximations and their usefulness in disclosing keystone parameters such as ξ . This parameter plays a significant role in controlling the wave's depth of penetration and could not have been identified except through the proper use of asymptotics.

VII. Conclusions

The search for a multiple-scales solution of the oscillatory channel flow problem was initiated in 1998 due to its relevance to instability analysis. The failure of linear coordinate transformations prompted the quest for a nonlinear composite scale. By devising a space-reductive transformation in the form of a composite variable, one could reproduce the modified scales in their regions of validity. This required conjecture and careful identification of the transitional variables before constructing a composite scale. By implementing a two-variable expansion that made use of this composite scale, a uniformly valid approximation was obtained. Later, Majdalani (10) attempted a reverse methodology. This time, the coordinate transformation was kept unspecified during the expansion. In the process, the leading-order term based on the undetermined functional was compared to a WKB solution of type I. Then, matching leading-order terms yielded the undetermined transformation. The resulting UST approximation served four objectives: (a) it confirmed the nonlinearity of the scales; (b) it provided a more formal alternative that is separately arrived at; (c) it reduced the amount of guesswork and subjectivity involved in the selection of inner scales; and (d) it illustrated Prandtl's principle that proposes matching with supplementary expansions. However, by relying on the existence of a supplementary approximation, the UST expansion became limited to problems for which a procedural substitution could be entertained. This issue is circumvented in the present study where the transformation is obtained directly from the problem's solvability condition and the Principle of Minimum Singularity. By ensuring boundedness between successive perturbation orders, an improved expression is derived from which the UST form is restored at leading order.

Apart from being independently formulated, the GST approximation exhibits four interesting features: (1) it is compact and straightforward to express in closed form; (2) it clearly displays the physical characteristics that control the solution; (3) it unravels the necessary coordinate transformation without resorting to guesswork or supplementary functions; and (4) it stems from the problem's mathematical stipulation for least singular behavior. Furthermore, the GST approximation follows the fundamental procedural step of multiple-scales theory, namely, that of transforming the governing ODE into pairs of PDEs. Its uniqueness stands in (i) retaining a nonspecific scale until the basic solution is obtained; (ii) applying a fundamental principle to ensure boundedness between successive expansion terms; and (iii) disclosing the key transformation at the conclusion of the analysis. It may be useful to add the GST's ability to provide the unique scales that, when used in a standard multiple-scales expansion, produce a result similar to that of the WKB of type I.



Figure 9. Modulus of the axially traveling wave velocity $u_1(x, y, t)$ for the first oscillation mode assuming constant frequency and viscosity. By holding $\varepsilon = \nu / (\omega h^2) = 10^{-6}$, the wall injection velocity is reduced, from top to bottom, by one order of magnitude. Here $F = \cos(\frac{1}{2}\pi y)$.



Figure 10. Analytical GST (full lines) and numerical (broken) solutions of the axially traveling wave for $F = \cos(\frac{1}{2}\pi y)$, $\xi = 5$, m = 1, $\omega_m t = \pi/2$, x/l = 0.5, and a Strouhal number of a) S = 50, b) 100, c) 200, and d) 400. By fixing ξ , the penetration depth of the traveling wave remains constant at any axial location regardless of the wall injection velocity, viscosity, or frequency of oscillations. The agreement between numerics and asymptotics continues to improve with successive increases in the Strouhal number despite the highly oscillatory nature of the solution and the radical reduction in spatial wavelength.

Particular to this investigation, two essential forms of the WKB solution are derived and presented to arbitrary order. The new type II solution is especially useful in being unconditionally valid at all orders. However, the increased algebraic complexity that accompanies type II can, in some applications, require numerical evaluation. The type I solution is simpler but requires a small-amplitude correction in higher-order representations. Incidentally, the appearance of a near-core boundary layer is consistent with conventional theory of laminar, injection-driven flows. Yet having a size that is smaller than the leading-order truncation error, its inclusion is not entirely necessary unless higher order expansions are sought.

By exploring the behavior of the type I WKB solution near the core, we have identified a spurious singularity that appears at alternating powers of the perturbation parameter. We have also realized the parametric limitations of previously reported solutions, specifically, those expressed in terms of $Re_k^{-1} = S^{-1}R^{-1}$, the reciprocal of the kinetic Reynolds number. By recasting all solutions to the same order in $\delta = R^{-1}$, uniformly valid representations of the CST, UST, and GST solutions are shown to be possible, irrespective of the size of the Strouhal number.

By way of verification, several examples are offered through which the newly developed asymptotic expansions are extensively tested. Having established the accuracy of the individual eigensolutions in the wall-normal direction, the complete expression for the axially traveling wave is deduced, characterized, and compared to its numerical solution. This crucial extension advances our asymptotic analysis of the vorticoacoustic field in a uniformly porous channel, a problem that has often been used to simulate the internal gas dynamics of a slab rocket motor. In forthcoming work, we plan on exploring the multiple solutions that arise in the context of an axisymmetric porous chamber.

Appendix A. Vorticoacoustic Wave Equation in a Porous Channel

The Helmholtz vector decomposition theorem enables us to synthesize the time-dependent disturbances in a porous channel from two parts: a curl-free, irrotational vector and a divergence-free, vortical component. Using the circumflex and tilde to denote either acoustic or solenoidal fields, the traveling wave in a channel may be written as $u_1 = \hat{u} + \tilde{u}$. This subdivision of roles may be substituted into the linearized Navier-Stokes equations at the first order in the perturbed pressure wave amplitude. Forthwith, two sets of responses may be extracted for the acoustic and rotational motions. On the one hand, the irrotational set collapses into

$$\partial^2 \hat{p} / \partial t^2 - \nabla^2 \hat{p} = \mathcal{O}(M_w); \quad M_w \equiv v_w / a_s \tag{A1}$$

or

$$\hat{p}(x,t) = \cos\left(\omega_m x\right) \exp\left(-\mathrm{i}\omega_m t\right) + \mathcal{O}(M_w) \tag{A2}$$

$$\hat{u}(x,t) = i\sin(\omega_m x)\exp(-i\omega_m t) + \mathcal{O}(M_w)$$

$$\hat{u}(x,t) = i\sin(\omega_m x)\exp(-i\omega_m t) + \mathcal{O}(M_w)$$
(A3)

where \hat{p} is the acoustic pressure and $\omega_m = \omega h / a_s = m\pi / l$ is the dimensionless wave number assuming rigid wall boundary conditions and axial oscillations in a channel with l >> 1. On the other hand, the rotational response vields

$$\nabla \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} = 0 \tag{A4}$$

$$\partial \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} / \partial t = -M_w \Big[\nabla \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}_0 \Big) - \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \times \nabla \times \boldsymbol{u}_0 - \boldsymbol{u}_0 \times \nabla \times \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \Big] - \overline{R}^{-1} \nabla \times \nabla \times \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}; \quad \overline{R} \equiv a_s h / \nu$$
(A5)

Being interested in longitudinal wave motions, the no-slip condition may be invoked to impose a vanishing velocity parallel to the porous wall. Furthermore, assuming symmetry about the midsection plane, one can put

$$u_1(x,0) = \hat{u}(x,0) + \tilde{u}(x,0) = 0 \tag{A6}$$

$$\partial u_{i}(x,1) / \partial y = 0 \tag{A7}$$

Letting $\tilde{u}(x, y, t) = \overline{u}(x, y) \exp(-i\omega_m t)$, Eqs. (A4)-(A5) may be expressed as

$$\nabla \cdot \bar{\boldsymbol{u}} = 0 \tag{A8}$$

$$i\overline{\boldsymbol{u}} = \sigma \left[\nabla \left(\overline{\boldsymbol{u}} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}_0 \right) - \overline{\boldsymbol{u}} \times \nabla \times \boldsymbol{u}_0 - \boldsymbol{u}_0 \times \nabla \times \overline{\boldsymbol{u}} \right] + \varepsilon \nabla \times \nabla \times \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}$$
(A9)

Subsequently, with $\overline{v} / \overline{u} = \mathcal{O}(M_{w})$, the axial component of Eq. (A9) reduces to

$$i\overline{u} = \sigma \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\overline{u}u_0 \right) + v_0 \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial y} \right] - \varepsilon \frac{\partial^2 \overline{u}}{\partial y^2} + \mathcal{O}(M_w)$$
(A10)

At the porous wall, the dynamic coupling with the acoustic wave requires setting $\overline{u}(x,0) = -i\sin(\omega_m x)$. Then taking Berman's similarity form $(u_0, v_0) = (-xF', F)$ for the mean flow and $\overline{u}(x, y) = \sum c_n x^{\lambda_n} Y_n(y)$ as a linear solution to Eq. (A10), one finds $\lambda_n = 2n + 1, n \in \mathbb{N}$. The rotational wave contribution becomes

$$\tilde{u}(x,y) = -i\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(-1\right)^n \left(\omega_m x\right)^{2^{n+1}}}{\left(2n+1\right)!} Y_n(y) \exp\left(-i\omega_m t\right)$$
(A11)

where each Y_n is left to be determined from

$$\varepsilon \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 Y_n}{\mathrm{d}y^2} - \sigma F \frac{\mathrm{d}Y_n}{\mathrm{d}y} + \left[\mathrm{i} + 2\sigma \left(n+1\right) F'\right] Y_n = 0, \quad 0 \le y \le 1,$$
(A12)

with

$$Y_n(0) = 1$$
 (no-slip at the wall), and $Y'_n(1) = 0$ (core symmetry). (A13)

This completes our cursory derivation of Eqs. (1) and (2).

Appendix B. Exact Kummer Solution

Using $F = \alpha(1-y)$ and $\alpha = (\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\pi)$, Eq. (7) becomes

$$\delta \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 Y_n}{\mathrm{d}y^2} - \alpha (1-y) \frac{\mathrm{d}Y_n}{\mathrm{d}y} + \left[\mathrm{i}S - 2\left(n+1\right)\alpha\right] Y_n = 0 \tag{B1}$$

At this point, a double transformation of the type

$$\chi = (1 - y)\sqrt{\alpha / \delta} , \ Y_n = \exp(-\frac{1}{4}\chi^2)f(\chi)$$
(B2)

leads to

$$f_{\chi\chi} + \left(p + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}\chi^2\right)f = 0, \ p = -2n - 3 + i\alpha^{-1}S$$
(B3)

The general solution is a linear sum of parabolic cylinder functions, namely,

$$Y_{n}(\chi) = \exp(-\frac{1}{4}\chi^{2}) \left[c_{1}D_{p}(\chi) + c_{2}D_{p}(-\chi) \right]$$
(B4)

Due to $\Re(p) < 0$, application of the symmetry condition at the core yields $c_1 = c_2$. Hence, one can put

$$Y_{n}(\chi) = C_{1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\chi^{2}\right) \Phi\left[n + \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}i\alpha^{-1}S, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\chi^{2}\right]$$
(B5)

where Φ denotes a Kummer function. The remaining constant may be determined by applying the condition at the outer wall. One finds $C_1 = \exp(\frac{1}{2}\alpha\delta^{-1})\Phi^{-1}(n + \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}i\alpha^{-1}S, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\alpha\delta^{-1})$. It follows that

$$Y_{n}^{E} = \exp\left[\frac{1}{2}\alpha\delta^{-1}y\left(2-y\right)\right] \frac{\Phi\left[n+\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{2}i\alpha^{-1}S,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\alpha\delta^{-1}(1-y)^{2}\right]}{\Phi(n+\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{2}i\alpha^{-1}S,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\alpha\delta^{-1})}$$
(B6)

Acknowledgments

This project was funded by the National Science Foundation through grant No. CMMI-0353518, Dr Eduardo A. Misawa, Program Director.

References

¹Brown, R. S., Blackner, A. M., Willoughby, P. G., and Dunlap, R., "Coupling between Acoustic Velocity Oscillations and Solid Propellant Combustion," *Journal of Propulsion and Power*, Vol. 2, No. 5, 1986, pp. 428-437.

²Dunlap, R., Blackner, A. M., Waugh, R. C., Brown, R. S., and Willoughby, P. G., "Internal Flow Field Studies in a Simulated Cylindrical Port Rocket Chamber," *Journal of Propulsion and Power*, Vol. 6, No. 6, 1990, pp. 690-704.

³Ma, Y., Van Moorhem, W. K., and Shorthill, R. W., "Experimental Investigation of Velocity Coupling in Combustion Instability," *Journal of Propulsion and Power*, Vol. 7, No. 5, 1991, pp. 692-699.

⁴Barron, J., Majdalani, J., and Van Moorhem, W. K., "A Novel Investigation of the Oscillatory Field over a Transpiring Surface," *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 235, No. 2, 2000, pp. 281-297.

⁵Richardson, E. G., and Tyler, E., "The Transverse Velocity Gradient near the Mouths of Pipes in Which an Alternating or Continuous Flow of Air Is Established," *Proceedings of the Royal Society, London, Series A*, Vol. 42, No. 1, 1929, pp. 1-15.

⁶Ugurtas, B., Avalon, G., Lupoglazoff, N., Vuillot, F., and Casalis, G., "Stability and Acoustic Resonance of Internal Flows Generated by Side Injection," *Solid Propellant Chemistry, Combustion, and Motor Interior Ballistics*, Vol. 185, edited by V. Yang, T. B. Brill, and W.-Z. Ren, AIAA Progress in Astronautics and Aeronautics, Washington, DC, 2000, pp. 823-836.

⁷Fabignon, Y., Dupays, J., Avalon, G., Vuillot, F., Lupoglazoff, N., Casalis, G., and Prévost, M., "Instabilities and Pressure Oscillations in Solid Rocket Motors," *Journal of Aerospace Science and Technology*, Vol. 7, No. 3, 2003, pp. 191-200.

⁸Casalis, G., Avalon, G., and Pineau, J.-P., "Spatial Instability of Planar Channel Flow with Fluid Injection through Porous Walls," *Physics of Fluids*, Vol. 10, No. 10, 1998, pp. 2558-2568.

⁹Griffond, J., "Receptivity and Aeroacoustic Resonance in Channels with Blowing Walls," *Physics of Fluids*, Vol. 14, No. 11, 2002, pp. 3946-3962.

¹⁰Majdalani, J., "The Oscillatory Channel Flow with Arbitrary Wall Injection," *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Physics (ZAMP)*, Vol. 52, No. 1, 2001, pp. 33-61.

¹¹Berman, A. S., "Laminar Flow in Channels with Porous Walls," *Journal of Applied Physics*, Vol. 24, No. 9, 1953, pp. 1232-1235.

¹²Berman, A. S., "Effects of Porous Boundaries on the Flow of Fluids in Systems with Various Geometries," *Proceedings of the Second United Nations International Conference on the Peaceful Uses of Atomic Energy, Series P*/720, Vol. 4, 1958, pp. 351-358.

¹³Van Dyke, M., *Perturbation Methods in Fluid Mechanics*, The Parabolic Press, Stanford, CA, 1975.

¹⁴Majdalani, J., and Rienstra, S. W., "Two Asymptotic Forms of the Rotational Solution for Wave Propagation inside Viscous Channels with Transpiring Walls," *Quarterly Journal of Mechanics and Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 55, No. 1, 2002, pp. 141-162.

¹⁵Majdalani, J., and Van Moorhem, W. K., "Improved Time-Dependent Flowfield Solution for Solid Rocket Motors," *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 36, No. 2, 1998, pp. 241-248.

¹⁶Yuan, S. W., "Further Investigation of Laminar Flow in Channels with Porous Walls," *Journal of Applied Physics*, Vol. 27, No. 3, 1956, pp. 267-269.

¹⁷Terrill, R. M., "On Some Exponentially Small Terms Arising in Flow through a Porous Pipe," *Quarterly Journal of Mechanics and Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 26, No. 3, 1973, pp. 347-354.

¹⁸Terrill, R. M., "Laminar Flow in a Uniformly Porous Channel with Large Injection," *The Aeronautical Quarterly*, Vol. 16, 1965, pp. 323-332.

¹⁹Abramowitz, M., and Stegun, I. A., *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, National Bureau of Standards, New York, 1964.

²⁰Majdalani, J., "A Hybrid Multiple Scale Procedure for Boundary Layers Involving Several Dissimilar Scales," *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Physics (ZAMP)*, Vol. 49, No. 6, 1998, pp. 849-868.

²¹Munson, A. G., "The Vortical Layer on an Inclined Cone," *Journal of Fluid Mechanics,* Vol. 20, No. 4, 1964, pp. 625-643.

²²Bosley, D. L., "A Technique for the Numerical Verification of Asymptotic Expansions," *SIAM Review*, Vol. 38, No. 1, 1996, pp. 128-135.

²³Majdalani, J., "Vortical and Acoustical Mode Coupling inside a Two-Dimensional Cavity with Transpiring Walls," *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, Vol. 106, No. 1, 1999, pp. 46-56.